# Recurrence Relations of the Multi-Indexed Orthogonal Polynomials : III

#### Satoru Odake

Faculty of Science, Shinshu University, Matsumoto 390-8621, Japan

#### Abstract

In a previous paper, we presented conjectures of the recurrence relations with constant coefficients for the multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials of Laguerre, Jacobi, Wilson and Askey-Wilson types. In this paper we present a proof for the Laguerre and Jacobi cases. Their bispectral properties are also discussed, which give a method to obtain the coefficients of the recurrence relations explicitly. This paper extends to the Laguerre and Jacobi cases the bispectral techniques recently introduced by Gómez-Ullate et al. to derive explicit expressions for the coefficients of the recurrence relations satisfied by exceptional polynomials of Hermite type.

# 1 Introduction

The exceptional orthogonal polynomials have seen remarkable developments in recent years in connection with exactly solvable quantum mechanical systems in one dimension [1]–[30] (and the references therein). The exceptional orthogonal polynomials  $\{\mathcal{P}_n(\eta)|n\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$  satisfy second order differential or difference equations and form a complete set, but there are missing degrees, by which the constraints of Bochner's theorem and its generalizations [31, 32] are avoided. We distinguish the following two cases; the set of missing degrees  $\mathcal{I} = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \setminus \{\deg \mathcal{P}_n | n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$  is case (1):  $\mathcal{I} = \{0, 1, \dots, \ell-1\}$ , or case (2)  $\mathcal{I} \neq \{0, 1, \dots, \ell-1\}$ , where  $\ell$  is a positive integer. The situation of case (1) is called stable in [8]. By applying the multi-step Darboux transformation [33] to the quantum mechanical systems described by the classical orthogonal polynomials, various exceptional orthogonal polynomials with multi-indices can be obtained. The choice of the seed solutions of the Darboux transformation leads to case (1) or case (2). When the eigenstate or pseudo virtual state wavefunctions are used

as seed solutions, we obtain case (2) [17, 27]. When the virtual state wavefunctions are used as seed solutions, we obtain case (1) and call them multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials [11, 26, 25].

The ordinary orthogonal polynomials  $\{P_n(\eta)|n\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \deg P_n=n\}$  satisfy the three term recurrence relations, and conversely the polynomials satisfying the three term recurrence relations are orthogonal polynomials (Favard's theorem [32]). Since the exceptional orthogonal polynomials are not ordinary orthogonal polynomials, they do not satisfy the three term recurrence relations. Recurrence relations for exceptional polynomials were discussed by several authors [7, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38]. In our first paper [34], we showed that M-indexed orthogonal polynomials  $P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta)$  ( $\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, \dots, d_M\}$ ) of Laguerre, Jacobi, Wilson and Askey-Wilson types satisfy 3 + 2M term recurrence relations with variable dependent coefficients. In our second paper [38], we discussed recurrence relations with constant coefficients for the multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials of Laguerre, Jacobi, Wilson and Askey-Wilson types,  $X(\eta)P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta) = \sum_{k=-L}^{L} r_{n,k}^{X,\mathcal{D}} P_{\mathcal{D},n+k}(\eta)$ , and gave conjectures on the condition for the polynomial  $X(\eta)$ . Recently Gómez-Ullate, Kasman, Kuijlaars and Milson studied the exceptional Hermite polynomials with multi-indices and showed the recurrence relations with constant coefficients [39]. Their method can be applied to the Laguerre and Jacobi cases and we can prove the recurrence relations with constant coefficients for the multi-index Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials conjectured in [38]. This is the first motivation of the present paper.

The second motivation of the present paper is a study of the bispectral property [32, 40]:

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{D}}P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta) = \mathcal{E}_n P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta), \quad \Delta_{X,\mathcal{D}}P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta) = X(\eta)P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta),$$
(1.1)

where  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{D}}$  is the second order differential operator of  $\eta$  and  $\Delta_{X,\mathcal{D}}$  is a certain shift operator of n. In [39] they also studied bispectral properties of the exceptional Hermite polynomials with multi-indices. Their key point is the anti-isomorphism  $\flat$ , which originates from 'bispectral Darboux transformation' [41]. We explain it briefly. The operators  $\partial_{\eta}$  and  $\eta$  act on the Hermite polynomial  $H_n(\eta)$  as  $\partial_{\eta}H_n(\eta) = 2nH_{n-1}(\eta)$  and  $\eta H_n(\eta) = \frac{1}{2}H_{n+1}(\eta) + nH_{n-1}(\eta)$ . By introducing the operators  $\Gamma = 2ne^{-\partial_n}$  and  $\Delta = \frac{1}{2}e^{\partial_n} + ne^{-\partial_n}$ , we have  $\partial_{\eta}H_n(\eta) = \Gamma H_n(\eta)$  and  $\eta H_n(\eta) = \Delta H_n(\eta)$ . Since commutators among these operators are  $[\partial_{\eta}, \eta] = 1$  and  $[\Delta, \Gamma] = 1$  (and other commutators vanish), we have an algebra anti-isomorphism  $\flat$ :  $\mathbb{C}[\partial_{\eta}, \eta] \to \mathbb{C}[\Delta, \Gamma]$ ,  $\flat(\eta^i \partial_{\eta}^j) = \Gamma^j \Delta^i$   $(i, j = 0, 1, \ldots)$ . The exceptional Hermite polynomial  $P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta)$  and the original Hermite polynomial  $H_n(\eta)$  are related by the multi-step forward

and backward shift operators,  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(\mathcal{D})}$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(\mathcal{D})}$  (These are our notation, see Appendix A.  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(\mathcal{D})}$ ,  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(\mathcal{D})}$  and  $\eta$  correspond to A, B and x in [39], respectively). They are differential operators of  $\eta$  ( $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(\mathcal{D})} \in \mathbb{C}[\partial_{\eta}, \eta]$ ,  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(\mathcal{D})} \not\in \mathbb{C}[\partial_{\eta}, \eta]$ ) and commute with  $\Delta$  and  $\Gamma$ . For an appropriate polynomial  $X(\eta)$  that gives recurrence relations with constant coefficients, the operator  $\Theta_{X,\mathcal{D}} = \hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(\mathcal{D})} \circ X(\eta) \circ \hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(\mathcal{D})}$  belongs to  $\mathbb{C}[\partial_{\eta}, \eta]$ . Then the operator  $\Delta_{X,\mathcal{D}} = \flat(\Theta_{X,\mathcal{D}}) \circ \pi_{\mathcal{D}}^{-1}(n)$ , where  $\pi_{\mathcal{D}}(n)$  is a certain function of n and  $f^{-1}$  means  $f^{-1}(x) = f(x)^{-1}$ , gives  $X(\eta)P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta) = \Delta_{X,\mathcal{D}}P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta)$  (X and  $\Delta_{X,\mathcal{D}}$  correspond to f,  $\tilde{\Delta}_f$  in [39], respectively). To derive this result, the commutativity  $[\Delta_{X,\mathcal{D}}, \hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(\mathcal{D})}] = 0$  is important. By using this result, we can obtain the coefficients  $r_{n,k}^{X,\mathcal{D}}$  explicitly.

This argument can be applied to the Laguerre and Jacobi cases but a slight modification is needed. The reason is that the Hermite polynomial  $H_n(\eta)$  has no parameter but the Laguerre  $L_n^{(\alpha)}(\eta)$  and Jacobi  $P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\eta)$  polynomials have parameters ( $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ). We explain this taking the Laguerre case as an example. The three term recurrence relations of the Laguerre polynomial  $L_n^{(\alpha)}(\eta)$  give  $\eta L_n^{(\alpha)}(\eta) = \Delta L_n^{(\alpha)}(\eta)$ ,  $\Delta = -(n+1)e^{\partial_n} + 2n + \alpha + 1 - (n+\alpha)e^{-\partial_n}$ . For differentiation, a well known formula is the forward shift relation  $\partial_{\eta}L^{(\alpha)}(\eta) = -L_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1)}(\eta)$  and it may lead us to define  $\Gamma' = -e^{-\partial_n}e^{\partial_\alpha}$ . Their commutators are

$$[\Delta, \Gamma'] = I', \quad [I', \Delta] = [I', \Gamma'] = 0, \quad I' = (1 - e^{-\partial_n})e^{\partial_\alpha}, \quad I'L_n^{(\alpha)}(\eta) = L_n^{(\alpha)}(\eta),$$
 (1.2)

and  $\Delta$ ,  $\Gamma'$  and I' commute with  $\partial_{\eta}$  and  $\eta$ . However  $\Gamma'$  and I' do not commute with  $\alpha$ . Since the operator  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(\mathcal{D})}$  contains the parameter  $\alpha$  as a coefficient of  $\partial_{\eta}^{k}$ , the commutativity  $[\Delta_{X,\mathcal{D}},\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(\mathcal{D})}]=0$  is lost. The operator  $\Gamma$  should contain n-shifts only. The expression of  $\Gamma$  becomes more complicated than the Hermite case. The important map  $\flat$  can be defined but it is no longer anti-isomorphism. The details are given in the main text.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we prove the conjecture of the recurrence relations with constant coefficients for the multi-indexed Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials. After recapitulating some fundamental formulas of the multi-indexed Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials in §2.1 and the conjecture in §2.2, a proof is given in §2.3. In section 3 we discuss the bispectral property of the multi-indexed Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials. After preparing some algebra and shift operators in §3.1, we define the map  $\flat$  for any ordinary orthogonal polynomials in continuous variable in §3.2. By using this map, the bispectral property, Theorem 2, is established in §3.3. Examples for Theorem 2 are presented in §3.4. The final section is for a summary and comments. In Appendix A we review the

algebraic aspects of the Darboux transformation, which are used to derive various properties of the exceptional orthogonal polynomials with multi-indices. In Appendix B the algebraic properties of the multi-indexed Laguerre and Jacobi orthogonal polynomials are reviewed. The formulas (A.32) and (B.20) are new. These two Appendices fix the notation in this paper.

# 2 Recurrence Relations with Constant Coefficients

In this section we prove the conjecture of the recurrence relations with constant coefficients for multi-indexed Laguerre and Jacobi orthogonal polynomials given in [38].

## 2.1 Multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials

The Darboux transformation and the multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials of Laguerre and Jacobi types are reviewed in Appendix A and B, and we follow the notation there. For a set of labels  $\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, \ldots, d_M\}$ , we write  $\mathcal{H}_{d_1 \ldots d_M}$ ,  $\phi_{d_1 \ldots d_M n}(x)$ ,  $P_{d_1 \ldots d_M, n}(\eta)$ ,  $\Xi_{d_1 \ldots d_M}(\eta)$ ,  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{d_1 \ldots d_M}$ ,  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{(d_1 \ldots d_M)}$ ,  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{d_1 \ldots d_M}$ ,  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(d_1 \ldots d_M)}$ ,  $\ell_{d_1 \ldots d_M}$ , etc. as  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}$ ,  $\phi_{\mathcal{D}n}(x)$ ,  $P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta)$ ,  $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)$ ,  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{D}}$ ,  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{(\mathcal{D})}$ ,  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{D}}$ ,  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(\mathcal{D})}$ ,  $\ell_{\mathcal{D}}$ , etc., respectively. We assume that the parameters (g and h) are generic such that  $c\overline{\mathcal{D}} \neq 0$  (B.14),  $c_{\mathcal{D},n}^P \neq 0$  (B.15) and  $\mathcal{E}_n - \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{d_j} \neq 0$ .

The multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials of the Laguerre and Jacobi types  $P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta)$  and the original Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials  $P_n(\eta)$  are related as follows:

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(\mathcal{D})}P_n(\eta) = \rho_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}}^{(\mathcal{D})}(\eta)W[\mu_{d_1}, \dots, \mu_{d_M}, P_n](\eta) = P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta), \tag{2.1}$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(\mathcal{D})}P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta) = \rho_{\hat{\mathcal{B}}}^{(\mathcal{D})}(\eta)W[m_1,\dots,m_M,P_n](\eta) = \pi_{\mathcal{D}}(n)P_n(\eta), \tag{2.2}$$

where  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(\mathcal{D})}$ ,  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(\mathcal{D})}$ ,  $\mu_{v}(\eta)$ ,  $\rho_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}}^{(\mathcal{D})}(\eta)$ ,  $\rho_{\hat{\mathcal{B}}}^{(\mathcal{D})}(\eta)$  and  $m_{j}(\eta) = m_{j}^{(\mathcal{D})}(\eta)$  are defined by (A.41), (A.42), (B.11), (B.21), (B.22) and (B.23), respectively (see also (A.40)), and the constant  $\pi_{\mathcal{D}}(n)$  is defined by

$$\pi_{\mathcal{D}}(n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{j=1}^{M} (\mathcal{E}_n - \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{d_j}). \tag{2.3}$$

This polynomial  $P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta)$  satisfies the second order differential equation (see (B.37)–(B.39)),

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta) = \mathcal{E}_n P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta), \tag{2.4}$$

$$-\frac{1}{4}\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{D}} = c_2(\eta)\frac{d^2}{d\eta^2} + \left(c_{11}(\eta) - 2c_2(\eta)\frac{\partial_{\eta}\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)}{\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)}\right)\frac{d}{d\eta} + c_2(\eta)\frac{\partial_{\eta}^2\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)}{\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)} - c_{10}(\eta)\frac{\partial_{\eta}\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)}{\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)}. \quad (2.5)$$

Here  $c_{11}(\eta) = c_1(\eta, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{[M_{\rm I}, M_{\rm II}]}), c_{10}(\eta) = c_1(\eta, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{[M_{\rm I}, M_{\rm II}]} - \boldsymbol{\delta})$  and  $c_2(\eta)$  are

$$c_{11}(\eta) = \begin{cases} g + M_{\rm I} - M_{\rm II} + \frac{1}{2} - \eta & : L \\ h - g - 2M_{\rm I} + 2M_{\rm II} - (g + h + 1)\eta & : J \end{cases},$$
 (2.6)

$$c_{10}(\eta) = c_{11}(\eta) + \begin{cases} -1 & : L \\ 2\eta & : J \end{cases}, \quad c_2(\eta) = \begin{cases} \eta & : L \\ 1 - \eta^2 & : J \end{cases},$$
 (2.7)

where  $M_{\rm t} = \#\{d_j \mid d_j : \text{type t}, j = 1, \dots, M\}$  (t = I, II). The degrees of  $P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta)$  and  $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)$  are  $\ell_{\mathcal{D}} + n$  and  $\ell_{\mathcal{D}}$  respectively, and  $\ell_{\mathcal{D}}$  is given in (B.12). We set  $P_n(\eta) = P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta) = 0$  for n < 0.

### 2.2 Recurrence relations with constant coefficients

In our previous paper [38], we discussed the recurrence relations of the multi-indexed Laguerre or Jacobi polynomials with constant coefficients,

$$X(\eta)P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta) = \sum_{k=-L}^{L} r_{n,k}^{X,\mathcal{D}} P_{\mathcal{D},n+k}(\eta) \quad (\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}), \tag{2.8}$$

where  $r_{n,k}^{X,\mathcal{D}}$ 's are constants and  $X(\eta)$  is some polynomial of degree L in  $\eta$ . To find such  $X(\eta)$  is our purpose. This problem is rephrased as follows (Remark 3 in § II of [38]): Find a polynomial  $X(\eta)$  such that the operator  $\Theta_{X,\mathcal{D}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(\mathcal{D})} \circ X(\eta) \circ \hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(\mathcal{D})}$  maps polynomials in  $\eta$  to polynomials in  $\eta$ . The coefficients  $r_{n,k}^{X,\mathcal{D}}$  are expressed as (Proposition 1 in [38])

$$r_{n,k}^{X,\mathcal{D}} = \frac{r_{n,k}^{(0) X,\mathcal{D}}}{\prod_{j=1}^{M} (\mathcal{E}_{n+k} - \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{d_j})},$$
(2.9)

where the constants  $r_{n,k}^{(0)X,\mathcal{D}}$  are obtained from the relations among the classical orthogonal polynomials

$$\Theta_{X,\mathcal{D}}P_n(\eta) = \sum_{k=-n}^{L} r_{n,k}^{(0)X,\mathcal{D}} P_{n+k}(\eta) \left( = \sum_{k=-L}^{L} r_{n,k}^{(0)X,\mathcal{D}} P_{n+k}(\eta) \right).$$
 (2.10)

If the two polynomials in  $\eta$ ,  $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta) = \Xi_{d_1...d_M}(\eta)$  and  $\Xi_{d_1...d_{M-1}}(\eta)$ , do not have common roots, the necessary condition for  $X(\eta)$  is the following (Proposition 2 and its Remark in [38]):  $\frac{dX(\eta)}{d\eta}$  is divisible by  $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)$ , namely

$$\frac{dX(\eta)}{d\eta} = \Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)Y(\eta), \quad Y(\eta) : \text{ a polynomial in } \eta.$$
 (2.11)

Since the overall normalization and the constant term of  $X(\eta)$  are irrelevant, we take the candidate  $X(\eta)$  as

$$X(\eta) = \int_0^{\eta} \Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(y)Y(y)dy, \quad \deg X(\eta) = L = \ell_{\mathcal{D}} + \deg Y(\eta) + 1, \tag{2.12}$$

and we assume  $Y(\eta) \in \mathbb{C}[\eta, g, h]$ . The Conjecture given in [38] is that the polynomial  $X(\eta)$  satisfying (2.11) gives (2.8). Since we will prove this conjecture in the next subsection, we state it as a theorem:

**Theorem 1** For any polynomial  $Y(\eta)$ , we define  $X(\eta)$  as (2.12). Then the multi-indexed Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials  $P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta)$  satisfy 1 + 2L term recurrence relations with constant coefficients (2.8). (See Remark in § 2.3.)

**Remark 1** If two polynomials in  $\eta$ ,  $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta) = \Xi_{d_1...d_M}(\eta)$  and  $\Xi_{d_1...d_{M-1}}(\eta)$ , do not have common roots, this theorem exhausts all possible  $X(\eta)$  giving recurrence relations with constant coefficients [38].

**Remark 2** If  $\frac{dX(\eta)}{d\eta}$  is divisible by  $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)$ , we have  $\Theta_{X,\mathcal{D}} \in \mathbb{C}[\partial_{\eta}, \eta]$ .

Some examples for (2.8) are found in [7, 36, 37, 38].

### 2.3 Proof

Following the argument in [39], we prove Theorem 1.

Let us define the set of finite linear combinations of  $P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta)$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}} \subset \mathbb{C}[\eta]$ , and the stabilizer ring  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}} \subset \mathbb{C}[\eta]$  by

$$\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Span} \{ P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}_{n \ge 0} \}, \tag{2.13}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ X(\eta) \in \mathbb{C}[\eta] \mid X(\eta) P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta) \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}} \ (\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}) \right\}. \tag{2.14}$$

Since the degree of  $P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta)$  is  $\ell_{\mathcal{D}} + n$ , it is trivial that  $p(\eta) \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}} \Rightarrow \deg p \geq \ell_{\mathcal{D}}$ , except for  $p(\eta) = 0$ .

For  $p(\eta) \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}}$ , let us expand it as  $p(\eta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\deg p - \ell_{\mathcal{D}}} a_n P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta)$  ( $a_n$ : constant) and consider the action of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{D}}$  on it. From (2.4), we have  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{D}} p(\eta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\deg p - \ell_{\mathcal{D}}} a_n \mathcal{E}_n P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta) \in \mathbb{C}[\eta]$ . On the other hand, from (2.5), we have

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{D}} p(\eta) = -4 \left( c_2(\eta) \partial_{\eta}^2 p(\eta) + c_{11}(\eta) \partial_{\eta} p(\eta) \right)$$

$$+\frac{4}{\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)} \Big( \partial_{\eta} \Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta) \Big( 2c_2(\eta) \partial_{\eta} p(\eta) + c_{10}(\eta) p(\eta) \Big) - \partial_{\eta}^2 \Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta) c_2(\eta) p(\eta) \Big). \tag{2.15}$$

Since the first line of r.h.s is a polynomial in  $\eta$ , we obtain the condition:

$$\partial_{\eta}\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)\left(2c_2(\eta)\partial_{\eta}p(\eta) + c_{10}(\eta)p(\eta)\right) - \partial_{\eta}^2\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)c_2(\eta)p(\eta) \text{ is divisible by } \Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta). \tag{2.16}$$

Next let us consider the converse. Take any polynomial  $p(\eta)$  satisfying the condition (2.16) and expand it as

$$p(\eta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\deg p - \ell_{\mathcal{D}}} a_n P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta) + r(\eta), \quad \deg r < \ell_{\mathcal{D}}, \quad r(\eta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\deg r} r_k \eta^k, \tag{2.17}$$

 $(p(\eta) = r(\eta) \text{ for deg } p < \ell_{\mathcal{D}})$ . Since  $P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta)$  satisfies (2.16), the condition (2.16) becomes

$$\partial_{\eta}\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)\left(2c_{2}(\eta)\partial_{\eta}r(\eta)+c_{10}(\eta)r(\eta)\right)-\partial_{\eta}^{2}\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)c_{2}(\eta)r(\eta) \text{ is divisible by }\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta). \tag{2.18}$$

In general the polynomial  $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)$  has only simple zeros,  $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta) \propto \prod_{i=1}^{\ell_{\mathcal{D}}} (\eta - \eta_i)$ . The condition (2.18) means that the polynomial in (2.18) vanishes at  $\eta = \eta_i$ . This gives  $\ell_{\mathcal{D}}$  linear relations on  $r_k$ 's. Since these linear relations are independent and the number of  $r_k$ 's is deg  $r+1 \leq \ell_{\mathcal{D}}$ , all  $r_k$ 's vanish. Namely we obtain  $r(\eta) = 0$  and  $p(\eta) \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}}$ . We remark that the polynomials  $p(\eta)$  satisfying the condition (2.16) form a vector space and its codimension in  $\mathbb{C}[\eta]$  is  $\ell_{\mathcal{D}}$  for  $r(\eta) = 0$  case. We summarize this argument as the following proposition.

**Proposition 1** When  $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)$  has only simple zeros, a polynomial  $p(\eta)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}}$  if and only if  $p(\eta)$  satisfies the condition (2.16).

For any polynomial  $X(\eta)$  and  $p(\eta)$ , we set  $q(\eta) = X(\eta)p(\eta)$ . Then the condition (2.16) for  $q(\eta)$  becomes

$$\partial_{\eta}\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta) \left( 2c_{2}(\eta)\partial_{\eta}q(\eta) + c_{10}(\eta)q(\eta) \right) - \partial_{\eta}^{2}\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)c_{2}(\eta)q(\eta)$$

$$= X(\eta) \left( \partial_{\eta}\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta) \left( 2c_{2}(\eta)\partial_{\eta}p(\eta) + c_{10}(\eta)p(\eta) \right) - \partial_{\eta}^{2}\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)c_{2}(\eta)p(\eta) \right)$$

$$+ \partial_{\eta}\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)2c_{2}(\eta)\partial_{\eta}X(\eta)p(\eta). \tag{2.19}$$

If  $X(\eta)$  satisfies (2.11) and  $p(\eta)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}}$ , this is divisible by  $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)$ . When  $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)$  has only simple zeros, Proposition 1 implies  $q(\eta) \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}}$ . Thus we obtain  $X(\eta) \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}$ , namely, the relation among the polynomials (2.8). The denominator polynomial  $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)$  contains a set of parameters  $\lambda$  ( $\lambda = g$  for Laguerre and  $\lambda = (g, h)$  for Jacobi) and it could be made

to have higher order zeros by tuning  $\lambda$ . Such tuning, however, does not cause any trouble to the relation among the polynomials (2.8). This is shown as follows. The denominator polynomial  $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)$  belongs to  $\mathbb{C}[\eta, g, h]$ . The polynomial  $X(\eta)$  (2.12) also belongs to  $\mathbb{C}[\eta, g, h]$  because we assume  $Y(\eta) \in \mathbb{C}[\eta, g, h]$ . From (3.58) with (B.11) and (B.21),  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(\mathcal{D})}$  belongs to  $\mathbb{C}[\partial_{\eta}, \eta, g, h]$ . From (3.58) with (B.22)–(B.23), the coefficients of  $\partial_{\eta}^{k}$ 's in  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(\mathcal{D})}$  are rational functions of  $\eta$  and the factor in the denominator is only  $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)^{M}$ . This factor is factorized as  $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta) = c_{\mathcal{D}}^{\Xi} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell_{\mathcal{D}}} (\eta - \eta_{i})$ . Since we already know  $\Theta_{X,\mathcal{D}} = \hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(\mathcal{D})} \circ X(\eta) \circ \hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(\mathcal{D})} \in \mathbb{C}[\partial_{\eta}, \eta]$ , this factor  $(\eta - \eta_{i})$  is canceled out in  $\Theta_{X,\mathcal{D}}$ . Thus the factor in the denominator of  $\Theta_{X,\mathcal{D}}$  is only  $c_{\mathcal{D}}^{\Xi}$ . By our assumption, this  $c_{\mathcal{D}}^{\Xi}$  does not vanish. Therefore (2.8) is valid even when  $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)$  has higher order zeros. Thus Theorem 1 is proved.

Remark If  $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)$  has only simple zeros, the converse of Theorem 1 holds. To show this, assume that  $X(\eta) \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}$ ,  $p(\eta) \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}}$  and (2.19) is divisible by  $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)$ . Since the expression in the second line of (2.19) is divisible by  $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)$ , the expression in the third line should be divisible by  $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)$ . Since  $p(\eta)$  is arbitrary,  $\partial_{\eta}\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)c_2(\eta)\partial_{\eta}X(\eta)$  should be divisible by  $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)$ . If  $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)$  and  $\partial_{\eta}\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)$  do note have common roots, which happens if  $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)$  has only simple zeros,  $\partial_{\eta}X(\eta)$  should be divisible by  $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)$ .

We present examples of  $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)$  which has higher order zeros [42]. We take  $\mathcal{D} = \{1^{I}, 2^{II}\}$ . For the Laguerre case, the denominator polynomial is

$$-2\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta) = \eta^4 + 2(2g - 3)\eta^3 + (g - \frac{5}{2})(6g - 1)\eta^2 + 2(g - \frac{5}{2})_2(2g + 1)\eta + (g - \frac{5}{2})_4, \quad (2.20)$$

which has higher order zeros for  $g = -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, -\frac{13}{2}$ . For these values,  $-2\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)$  is  $\eta^2(\eta - 2)(\eta - 6)$ ,  $\eta^2(\eta^2 - 8)$ ,  $\eta^3(\eta + 4)$  and  $(\eta - 6)^3(\eta - 14)$ , respectively. We can check that  $8\Theta_{X,\mathcal{D}}$  belongs to  $\mathbb{Z}[\partial_{\eta}, \eta, g]$  and nothing happens at  $g = -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, -\frac{13}{2}$ . For the Jacobi case, the denominator polynomial is (a = g + h, b = g - h)

$$64\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta) = (b-4)(b-3)(b-1)(b+2)\eta^4 + 4(a-1)(b-3)(b-1)b\eta^3$$

$$+ 2(b-1)(a(a-2)(3b-4) + (b+4)(b-3))\eta^2$$

$$+ 4(a-1)(b-1)(a(a-2) + b-3)\eta$$

$$+ a^3(a-4) + 2a^2(b-3) - 4a(b-5) - (b-3)(b-1),$$
(2.21)

which has higher order zeros for  $g = -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}$ , or  $h = -\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$ , or (g+h)(g+h-2)(g-h-2) or (g-h-3)(g-h-1)(g-h+4) (The cases g-h=4, 3, 1, -2 are excluded by the

condition  $c_{\mathcal{D}}^{\Xi} \neq 0$ .). We can check that  $16\Theta_{X,\mathcal{D}}$  belongs to  $\mathbb{Z}[\partial_{\eta}, \eta, g, h]$  and nothing happens at these values.

# 3 Bispectral Property

In this section we discuss the bispectral property of the multi-indexed Laguerre and Jacobi orthogonal polynomials, (1.1).

### 3.1 Preparation

#### 3.1.1 some algebra

Let us consider operators A, B and  $O_j$  (j = 1, 2, ...), which satisfy

$$[A, B] = 1 + O_{1,1}, \quad O_{1,1} \in \mathcal{O} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{C}[O_1, O_2, \ldots],$$
  
 $AO_j, O_j A, BO_j, O_j B, O_j O_k \in \mathcal{O}.$  (3.1)

Any element F of the ring  $\mathbb{C}[A, B, O_1, O_2, \ldots]$  is written as a finite sum  $F = \sum_{i,j \geq 0} F_{i,j} B^j A^i + O_F$   $(F_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}, O_F \in \mathcal{O})$ . It is easy to show the following identity  $(i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$  by induction,

$$A^{i}B^{j} = \sum_{r=0}^{\min(i,j)} a_{r}^{ij}B^{j-r}A^{i-r} + O_{i,j}, \quad a_{r}^{ij} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} r! \binom{i}{r} \binom{j}{r} = a_{r}^{ji}, \quad O_{i,j} \in \mathcal{O}.$$
 (3.2)

The explicit form of  $O_{i,j}$  can be obtained by the recurrence relations,

$$O_{i+1,j} = \sum_{r=0}^{\min(i,j)} a_r^{i,j} O_{1,j-r} A^{i-r} + A O_{i,j}, \quad O_{i,j+1} = \sum_{r=0}^{\min(i,j)} a_r^{i,j} B^{j-r} O_{i-r,1} + O_{i,j} B,$$
 (3.3)

with  $O_{i,0} = O_{0,j} = 0$ .

The algebra of operators  $\partial_{\eta}$  (derivative by  $\eta$ ) and  $\eta$  (multiplication by  $\eta$ ),  $[\partial_{\eta}, \eta] = 1$ , is a special case of the above, namely  $O_j = 0$ . Eq. (3.2) with  $i \leftrightarrow j$  becomes

$$\partial_{\eta}^{j} \circ \eta^{i} = \sum_{r=0}^{\min(i,j)} a_{r}^{ij} \eta^{i-r} \partial_{\eta}^{j-r}. \tag{3.4}$$

#### 3.1.2 shift operators

In the bispectral property (1.1),  $\Delta_{X,\mathcal{D}}$  is a certain shift operator of n. Usually a formal shift operator, e.g.  $n \to n+1$ , is used but here we realize shift operators as differential operators

acting on smooth functions of n. For a function f(n), the exponential of  $a\partial_n$  (a: constant) acts on f(n) as a shift operator,

$$e^{a\partial_n}f(n) = f(n+a), \tag{3.5}$$

because

$$e^{a\partial_n}f(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^k}{k!} \partial_n^k f(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^k}{k!} \frac{d^k f}{dn^k}(n) = f(n+a).$$

We regard a polynomial  $P_n(\eta)$  as a sum  $\sum_{j=0}^n a_j(n)\eta^j$  and treat n (upper limit of the sum) as a continuous variable in the following way: a sum  $\sum_{j=1}^n f(n,j)$  is understood as

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} f(n,j) = \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} dx \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x-j) \cdot f(n,x),$$
 (3.6)

where  $\delta(x)$  is the Dirac delta function  $\left(\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$  is replaced by  $\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$  for  $\sum_{j=0}^{n} f(n,j)$ . Of course, only an integer shift is allowed for the upper limit of the sum. After all the calculations are done, we can evaluate various quantities at  $n=0,1,2,\ldots$  (and  $j=n,n-1,\ldots$ ).

The exponential operator  $e^{a\partial_n}$  is a shift operator. If a constant a is replaced by a function g(n), the exponential operator  $e^{g(n)\partial_n}$  is no longer a shift operator, e.g.  $e^{an\partial_n}f(n)=f(e^an)$ . Let us define a 'normal ordered' exponential operator :  $e^{g(n)\partial_n}$ : as

$$: e^{g(n)\partial_n} : \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{g(n)^k}{k!} \partial_n^k.$$
 (3.7)

This acts on f(n) as a shift operator,

$$: e^{g(n)\partial_n} : f(n) = f(n+g(n)), \tag{3.8}$$

because we have  $(f(n) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{f_l}{l!} n^l)$ ,

$$: e^{g(n)\partial_n} : f(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{g(n)^k}{k!} \partial_n^k \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{f_l}{l!} n^l = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{g(n)^k}{k!} \frac{f_l}{l!} \binom{l}{k} k! n^{l-k}$$
$$= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{f_l}{l!} \sum_{k=0}^{l} \binom{l}{k} g(n)^k n^{l-k} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{f_l}{l!} (n+g(n))^l = f(n+g(n)).$$

For a constant a, we have  $: e^{a\partial_n} := e^{a\partial_n}$ . We remark that  $: e^{-(n+a)\partial_n} : (a : \text{constant})$  maps a function of n to a constant,  $: e^{-(n+a)\partial_n} : f(n) = f(-a)$ . The product of normal ordered exponential operators is again a normal ordered exponential operator,

$$: e^{g_1(n)\partial_n} :: e^{g_2(n)\partial_n} := : e^{(g_1 \star g_2)(n)\partial_n} :, \quad (g_1 \star g_2)(n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g_1(n) + g_2(n + g_1(n)), \tag{3.9}$$

and associative  $((g_1 \star g_2) \star g_3 = g_1 \star (g_2 \star g_3)$  is easily shown). Eq.(3.9) is shown by

$$: e^{g_1(n)\partial_n} :: e^{g_2(n)\partial_n} : f(n) = : e^{g_1(n)\partial_n} : f(n+g_2(n)) = f(n+g_1(n)+g_2(n+g_1(n)))$$
$$= : e^{(g_1(n)+g_2(n+g_1(n)))\partial_n} : f(n).$$

We give another proof:

$$: e^{g_1(n)\partial_n} :: e^{g_2(n)\partial_n} := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{g_1(n)^k}{k!} \partial_n^k \circ \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{g_2(n)^l}{l!} \partial_n^l = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{g_1(n)^k}{k! \, l!} \sum_{r=0}^k \binom{k}{r} \left(g_2(n)^l\right)^{(r)} \partial_n^{k-r} \partial_n^l$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{r=0}^k \frac{g_1(n)^k}{k! \, (m-k)!} \binom{k}{r} \left(g_2(n)^{m-k}\right)^{(r)} \partial_n^{m-r} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=r}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{g_1(n)^k}{k! \, (m-k)!} \binom{k}{r} \left(g_2(n)^{m-k}\right)^{(r)} \partial_n^{m-r}$$

$$= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{t=0}^s \sum_{l=0}^s \frac{g_1(n)^{r+t}}{(r+t)! \, (s-t)!} \binom{r+t}{r} \left(g_2(n)^{s-t}\right)^{(r)} \partial_n^s = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{t=0}^s \sum_{r=0}^s \sum_{r=0}^g \frac{g_1(n)^{r+t}}{r! \, s!} \binom{s}{t} \left(g_2(n)^{s-t}\right)^{(r)} \partial_n^s$$

$$= \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s!} \sum_{t=0}^s \binom{s}{t} g_1(n)^t \sum_{r=0}^\infty \frac{g_1(n)^r}{r!} \left(g_2(n)^{s-t}\right)^{(r)} \partial_n^s = \sum_{s=0}^\infty \frac{1}{s!} \sum_{t=0}^s \binom{s}{t} g_1(n)^t \left(g_2(n+g_1(n))\right)^{s-t} \partial_n^s$$

$$= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s!} \left(g_1(n) + g_2(n+g_1(n))\right)^s \partial_n^s = : e^{(g_1(n)+g_2(n+g_1(n)))\partial_n} :,$$

where  $(f(n))^{(r)} = \partial_n^r f(n)$ . Later we will use the following (a, b : constants):

$$: e^{a\partial_n} :: e^{b\partial_n} := : e^{(a+b)\partial_n} :, : e^{a\partial_n} :: e^{-(n+b)\partial_n} := : e^{-(n+b)\partial_n} :,$$

$$: e^{-(n+b)\partial_n} :: e^{a\partial_n} := : e^{-(n-a+b)\partial_n} :, : e^{-(n+a)\partial_n} :: e^{-(n+b)\partial_n} := : e^{-(n+b)\partial_n} :.$$

$$(3.10)$$

The product of the shift operator  $e^{\pm k\partial_n}$  (k: constant, integer) and a sum of functions  $\sum_{j=1}^n f(n,j)$  as an operator is

$$e^{\pm k\partial_n} \circ \sum_{j=1}^n f(n,j) = \sum_{j=1}^{n\pm k} f(n\pm k,j)e^{\pm k\partial_n}.$$
 (3.11)

This is understood in the following way. By rewriting the sum as (3.6),

$$e^{\pm k\partial_n} \circ \sum_{j=1}^n f(n,j) = \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{n\pm k + \frac{1}{2}} dx \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x-j) \cdot f(n\pm k, x) e^{\pm k\partial_n} = \sum_{j=1}^{n\pm k} f(n\pm k, j) e^{\pm k\partial_n}.$$

### 3.2 Map ♭

By modifying the arguments in [39], we define the map  $\flat$  (3.26). In this subsection we consider arbitrary (ordinary) orthogonal polynomials  $P_n(\eta)$  in continuous variable  $\eta$ . The polynomial  $P_n(\eta) = c_n \eta^n + \text{(lower degree terms)}$  is defined by the three term recurrence relations [32]

$$\eta P_n(\eta) = A_n P_{n+1}(\eta) + B_n P_n(\eta) + C_n P_{n-1}(\eta). \tag{3.12}$$

We set  $P_0(\eta) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1$ ,  $P_n(\eta) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 0$  (n < 0) and  $A_{-1} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 0$ . We assume that  $A_n$ ,  $B_n$  and  $C_n$  are given as functions of continuous n. Note that (3.12) holds for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $P_n(\eta)$  may not satisfy any differential equation.

Since  $\partial_{\eta} P_n(\eta)$  is a polynomial of degree n-1, it can be written as

$$\partial_{\eta} P_n(\eta) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_{n,k} P_{n-k}(\eta), \tag{3.13}$$

where  $c_{n,k}$  are constants and we set  $c_{n,0} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 0$ . We have  $A_n = \frac{c_n}{c_{n+1}}$  and  $c_{n,1} = n \frac{c_n}{c_{n-1}}$ , which imply  $A_n c_{n+1,1} = n+1$ . Let us define operators  $\Delta$ ,  $\Gamma$  and  $O_j$   $(j=1,2,\ldots)$  as

$$\Delta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A_n e^{\partial_n} + B_n + C_n e^{-\partial_n}, \quad \Gamma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k=1}^n c_{n,k} : e^{-k\partial_n} :, \quad O_j \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} : e^{-(n+j)\partial_n} : .$$
 (3.14)

We remark that  $\Delta$ ,  $\Gamma$  and  $O_j$  commute with  $\eta$  and  $\partial_{\eta}$ . From (3.12), (3.13) and  $P_n(\eta) = 0$  (n < 0), they act on  $P_n(\eta)$  as follows:

$$\Delta P_n(\eta) = \eta P_n(\eta), \quad \Gamma P_n(\eta) = \partial_{\eta} P_n(\eta), \quad O_j P_n(\eta) = 0.$$
 (3.15)

Let us calculate the commutation relation of  $\Delta$  and  $\Gamma$ . Results in §3.1.2 give

$$\Delta\Gamma = A_n \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} c_{n+1,k} : e^{-(k-1)\partial_n} : +B_n \sum_{k=1}^n c_{n,k} : e^{-k\partial_n} : +C_n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} c_{n-1,k} : e^{-(k+1)\partial_n} :$$

$$= A_n c_{n+1,1} + A_n \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} c_{n+1,k} : e^{-(k-1)\partial_n} : +B_n \sum_{k=1}^n c_{n,k} : e^{-k\partial_n} : +C_n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_{n-1,k} : e^{-(k+1)\partial_n} :$$

$$= n+1 + \sum_{k=1}^n (A_n c_{n+1,k+1} + B_n c_{n,k} + C_n c_{n-1,k-1}) : e^{-k\partial_n} :, \qquad (3.16)$$

$$\Gamma\Delta = \sum_{k=1}^n c_{n,k} A_{n-k} : e^{-(k-1)\partial_n} : +\sum_{k=1}^n c_{n,k} B_{n-k} : e^{-k\partial_n} : +\sum_{k=1}^n c_{n,k} C_{n-k} : e^{-(k+1)\partial_n} :$$

$$= A_{n-1} c_{n,1} + \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} A_{n-k} c_{n,k} : e^{-(k-1)\partial_n} : +\sum_{k=1}^n B_{n-k} c_{n,k} : e^{-k\partial_n} :$$

$$+\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} C_{n-k} c_{n,k} : e^{-(k+1)\partial_n} : +C_0 c_{n,n} : e^{-(n+1)\partial_n} :$$

$$= n + \sum_{k=1}^{n} (A_{n-k-1} c_{n,k+1} + B_{n-k} c_{n,k} + C_{n-k+1} c_{n,k-1}) : e^{-k\partial_n} : +C_0 c_{n,n} O_1,$$
(3.17)

where we have used  $A_{-1}=0$ ,  $c_{n,0}=0$  and  $A_nc_{n+1,1}=n+1$ . From these we have

$$[\Delta, \Gamma] = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{n,k} : e^{-k\partial_n} : -C_0 c_{n,n} O_1,$$
 (3.18)

where the constant  $b_{n,k}$  is

$$b_{n,k} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A_n c_{n+1,k+1} - A_{n-k-1} c_{n,k+1} + (B_n - B_{n-k}) c_{n,k} + C_n c_{n-1,k-1} - C_{n-k+1} c_{n,k-1}.$$
 (3.19)

The l.h.s of (3.18) acts on  $P_n(\eta)$  as

$$(l.h.s)P_n(\eta) = [\Delta, \Gamma]P_n(\eta) = (\Delta\Gamma - \Gamma\Delta)P_n(\eta) = (\Delta\partial_{\eta} - \Gamma\eta)P_n(\eta)$$
$$= (\partial_{\eta}\Delta - \eta\Gamma)P_n(\eta) = (\partial_{\eta}\eta - \eta\partial_{\eta})P_n(\eta) = [\partial_{\eta}, \eta]P_n(\eta) = P_n(\eta), \tag{3.20}$$

and the r.h.s (3.18) acts on  $P_n(\eta)$  as

$$(r.h.s)P_n(\eta) = P_n(\eta) + \sum_{k=1}^n b_{n,k} P_{n-k}(\eta),$$
(3.21)

which means  $\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{n,k} P_{n-k}(\eta) = 0$ , namely  $b_{n,k} = 0$ . (This  $b_{n,k} = 0$  can be checked by explicit calculation of (3.19). We have checked this for  $n \leq 15$  by using Mathematica.) Therefore we obtain

$$[\Delta, \Gamma] = 1 - C_0 c_{n,n} O_1. \tag{3.22}$$

Products of  $O_j$  and  $(\Delta, \Gamma, O_k)$  are

$$\Delta O_j = (A_n + B_n + C_n)O_j, \quad O_j \Delta = A_{-j}O_{j-1} + B_{-j}O_j + C_{-j}O_{j+1}, \tag{3.23}$$

$$\Gamma O_j = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n c_{n,k}\right) O_j, \quad O_j \Gamma_j = 0, \tag{3.24}$$

$$O_j O_k = O_k, (3.25)$$

and all of them belong to  $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{C}[O_1, O_2, \ldots]$   $(O_1\Delta = B_{-1}O_1 + C_{-1}O_2)$  due to  $A_{-1} = 0$ . The relations (3.22)–(3.25) satisfy the conditions given in §3.1.1, where the correspondence is  $(A, B, O_j) \leftrightarrow (\Delta, \Gamma, O_j)$ . If  $C_{-j} \neq 0$ , we have  $\mathbb{C}[\Delta, \Gamma, O_1, O_2, \ldots] = \mathbb{C}[\Delta, \Gamma]$ .

Any element F of  $\mathbb{C}[\partial_{\eta}, \eta]$  is written as a finite sum  $F = \sum_{i,j \geq 0} F_{i,j} \eta^i \partial_{\eta}^j$   $(F_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C})$ . Let us define a map  $\flat : \mathbb{C}[\partial_{\eta}, \eta] \to \mathbb{C}[\Delta, \Gamma]$ :

$$F = \sum_{i,j\geq 0} F_{i,j} \eta^i \partial_{\eta}^j \in \mathbb{C}[\partial_{\eta}, \eta], \quad \flat(F) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i,j\geq 0} F_{i,j} \Gamma^j \Delta^i \in \mathbb{C}[\Delta, \Gamma].$$
 (3.26)

We remark that  $\flat(\partial_{\eta}\eta)$  is not directly given in the above definition and it is calculated as  $\flat(\partial_{\eta}\eta) = \flat(\eta\partial_{\eta}+1) = \Gamma\Delta + 1 \neq \Delta\Gamma = \Gamma\Delta + 1 - C_0c_{n,n}O_1$ . From (3.15), we have

$$\eta^i \partial_\eta^j P_n(\eta) = \eta^i \Gamma^j P_n(\eta) = \Gamma^j \eta^i P_n(\eta) = \Gamma^j \Delta^i P_n(\eta). \tag{3.27}$$

By using (3.4) and (3.2) with  $(A, B) = (\Delta, \Gamma)$ , we have

$$\eta^{i_2} \partial_{\eta}^{j_2} \eta^{i_1} \partial_{\eta}^{j_1} P_n(\eta) = \eta^{i_2} \left( \sum_{r=0}^{\min(j_2, i_1)} a_r^{j_2 i_1} \eta^{i_1 - r} \partial_{\eta}^{j_2 - r} \right) \partial_{\eta}^{j_1} P_n(\eta) 
= \sum_{r=0}^{\min(j_2, i_1)} a_r^{j_2 i_1} \eta^{i_1 + i_2 - r} \partial_{\eta}^{j_1 + j_2 - r} P_n(\eta) = \sum_{r=0}^{\min(j_2, i_1)} a_r^{j_2 i_1} \Gamma^{j_1 + j_2 - r} \Delta^{i_1 + i_2 - r} P_n(\eta) 
= \Gamma^{j_1} \left( \sum_{r=0}^{\min(i_1, j_2)} a_r^{i_1 j_2} \Gamma^{j_2 - r} \Delta^{i_1 - r} \right) \Delta^{i_2} P_n(\eta) = \Gamma^{j_1} (\Delta^{i_1} \Gamma^{j_2} - O_{i_1, j_2}) \Delta^{i_2} P_n(\eta) 
= \Gamma^{j_1} \Delta^{i_1} \Gamma^{j_2} \Delta^{i_2} P_n(\eta) - \Gamma^{j_1} O_{i_1, j_2} \Delta^{i_2} P_n(\eta) = \Gamma^{j_1} \Delta^{i_1} \Gamma^{j_2} \Delta^{i_2} P_n(\eta),$$
(3.28)

where we have used  $O_{i_1,j_2}\Delta^{i_2} \in \mathcal{O}$  in the last line. Therefore we obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 2** The action of  $\mathbb{C}[\partial_{\eta}, \eta]$  on  $P_n(\eta)$  is related to that of  $\mathbb{C}[\Delta, \Gamma]$  by the map  $\flat$ :

$$F \in \mathbb{C}[\partial_{\eta}, \eta] \Rightarrow FP_n(\eta) = \flat(F)P_n(\eta),$$
 (3.29)

$$F, G \in \mathbb{C}[\partial_{\eta}, \eta] \Rightarrow FGP_n(\eta) = \flat(FG)P_n(\eta) = \flat(G)\flat(F)P_n(\eta). \tag{3.30}$$

**Remark 1** This anti-homomorphism property (3.30) does not hold as algebra, namely  $\flat(FG) \neq \flat(G)\flat(F)$  in general.

**Remark 2** The polynomial  $P_n(\eta)$  may depend on a set of parameters  $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots)$ ,  $P_n(\eta) = P_n(\eta; \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ . The above  $\mathbb{C}[\partial_{\eta}, \eta]$  and  $\mathbb{C}[\Delta, \Gamma]$  are understood as  $\mathbb{C}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})[\partial_{\eta}, \eta]$  and  $\mathbb{C}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})[\Delta, \Gamma]$  respectively.

For later use, we present  $\Delta^i$  and  $\Gamma^j$  (i, j = 0, 1, 2, ...):

$$\Delta^{i} = \sum_{k=-i}^{i} D_{n}^{i,k} e^{k\partial_{n}}, \quad D_{n}^{0,0} = 1, \quad D_{n}^{i,k} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 0 \quad (|k| > i),$$

$$D_n^{i,k} = D_n^{i-1,k-1} A_{n+k-1} + D_n^{i-1,k} B_{n+k} + D_n^{i-1,k+1} C_{n+k+1} \quad (-i \le k \le i),$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{k_1-1}^{k_1-k_2-1} \sum_{k_1-k_2-k_3-1}^{k_1-k_2-k_3-1} \sum_{k_1-k_2-\dots-k_{j-1}-1}^{k_1-k_2-1} \sum_{k_1-k_2-1}^{k_1-k_2-1} \sum_{k_1-k_2-\dots-k_{j-1}-1}^{k_1-k_2-1} \sum_{k_1-k_2-1}^{k_1-k_2-1} \sum_{k_1-k_2-\dots-k_{j-1}-1}^{k_1-k_2-1} \sum_{k_1-k_2-1}^{k_1-k_2-1} \sum_{k_1-k_2-1}^{k_1-k_2-$$

$$\Gamma^{j} = \sum_{k_{1}=1}^{n} \sum_{k_{2}=1}^{k_{1}-1} \sum_{k_{3}=1}^{k_{1}-k_{2}-1} \sum_{k_{4}=1}^{k_{1}-k_{2}-k_{3}-1} \cdots \sum_{k_{j}=1}^{k_{1}-k_{2}-\dots-k_{j-1}-1} c_{n,k_{2}} c_{n-k_{2},k_{3}} c_{n-k_{2}-k_{3},k_{4}} \cdots c_{n-k_{2}-k_{3}-\dots-k_{j-1},k_{j}} \times c_{n-k_{2}-k_{3}-\dots-k_{j},k_{1}-k_{2}-k_{3}-\dots-k_{j}} : e^{-k_{1}\partial_{n}} : .$$

$$(3.32)$$

Note that  $\sum_{k_1=1}^n$  is actually  $\sum_{k_1=i}^n$  because of our convention of the summation symbol:  $\sum_{k=m}^{m-1} * = 0$ . We explain  $\Gamma^2$ :

$$\Gamma^{2} = \left(\sum_{k_{2}=1}^{n} c_{n,k_{2}} : e^{-k_{2}\partial_{n}} :\right) \left(\sum_{k'_{2}=1}^{n} c_{n,k'_{2}} : e^{-k'_{2}\partial_{n}} :\right) = \sum_{k_{2}=1}^{n} c_{n,k_{2}} \sum_{k'_{2}=1}^{n-k_{2}} c_{n-k_{2},k'_{2}} : e^{-k_{2}\partial_{n}} :: e^{-k'_{2}\partial_{n}} :$$

$$= \sum_{k_{2}=1}^{n} c_{n,k_{2}} \sum_{k'_{2}=1}^{n-k_{2}} c_{n-k_{2},k'_{2}} : e^{-(k_{2}+k'_{2})\partial_{n}} := \sum_{k_{1}=1}^{n} \sum_{k_{2}=1}^{k_{1}-1} c_{n,k_{2}} c_{n-k_{2},k_{1}-k_{2}} : e^{-k_{1}\partial_{n}} :.$$

$$(3.33)$$

Here we have used :  $e^{-k_2\partial_n}$  ::  $e^{-k_2'\partial_n}$  := :  $e^{-(k_2+k_2')\partial_n}$  : because  $k_2$  and  $k_2'$  are independent of n. As remarked in the first paragraph in  $\S 3.1.2$ , after all the calculations are done, we can evaluate various quantities at  $k_2 = n, n - 1, ..., k'_2 = n - k_2, ...,$  etc.

In the rest of this subsection we present the explicit forms of  $\Delta$  and  $\Gamma$  for the Hermite, Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials.

#### 3.2.1example 1: Hermite polynomial

The Hermite polynomial  $H_n(\eta)$  [32] satisfies (3.12) with

$$A_n = \frac{1}{2}, \quad B_n = 0, \quad C_n = n,$$
 (3.34)

and

$$\partial_{\eta} H_n(\eta) = 2n H_{n-1}(\eta). \tag{3.35}$$

Therefore  $\Delta$  and  $\Gamma$  become

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{2}e^{\partial_n} + ne^{-\partial_n}, \quad \Gamma = 2ne^{-\partial_n}, \tag{3.36}$$

and they satisfy

$$[\Delta, \Gamma] = 1. \tag{3.37}$$

In this case  $\flat$  is an anti-isomorphism of algebra,  $\flat(FG) = \flat(G)\flat(F)$  [39].

#### 3.2.2 example 2 : Laguerre polynomial

The Laguerre polynomial  $L_n^{(\alpha)}(\eta)$  [32] satisfies (3.12) with

$$A_n = -(n+1), \quad B_n = 2n + \alpha + 1, \quad C_n = -(n+\alpha),$$
 (3.38)

and

$$\partial_{\eta} L_n^{(\alpha)}(\eta) = -L_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1)}(\eta),$$
 (3.39)

$$L_{n-1}^{(\alpha)}(\eta) + L_n^{(\alpha-1)}(\eta) = L_n^{(\alpha)}(\eta). \tag{3.40}$$

From (3.40) we have

$$L_n^{(\alpha+1)}(\eta) = \sum_{k=0}^n L_k^{(\alpha)}(\eta). \tag{3.41}$$

So we have  $c_{n,k} = -1$   $(1 \le k \le n)$ . Therefore  $\Delta$  and  $\Gamma$  become

$$\Delta = -(n+1)e^{\partial_n} + 2n + \alpha + 1 - (n+\alpha)e^{-\partial_n}, \quad \Gamma = -\sum_{k=1}^n : e^{-k\partial_n} : .$$
 (3.42)

It is easy to check that  $b_{n,k}$  (3.19) vanishes. The operators  $\Delta$  and  $\Gamma$  satisfy

$$[\Delta, \Gamma] = 1 - \alpha O_1. \tag{3.43}$$

#### 3.2.3 example 3 : Jacobi polynomial

The Jacobi polynomial  $J_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\eta)$  [32] satisfies (3.12) with

$$A_{n} = \frac{2(n+1)(n+\alpha+\beta+1)}{(2n+\alpha+\beta+1)(2n+\alpha+\beta+2)}, \quad B_{n} = \frac{\beta^{2} - \alpha^{2}}{(2n+\alpha+\beta)(2n+\alpha+\beta+2)},$$

$$C_{n} = \frac{2(n+\alpha)(n+\beta)}{(2n+\alpha+\beta)(2n+\alpha+\beta+1)},$$
(3.44)

and

$$\partial_{\eta} P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\eta) = \frac{1}{2} (n + \alpha + \beta + 1) P_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(\eta), \tag{3.45}$$

$$(2n + \alpha + \beta)P_n^{(\alpha - 1, \beta)}(\eta) = (n + \alpha + \beta)P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\eta) - (n + \beta)P_{n-1}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\eta), \tag{3.46}$$

$$(2n + \alpha + \beta)P_n^{(\alpha,\beta-1)}(\eta) = (n + \alpha + \beta)P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\eta) + (n + \alpha)P_{n-1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\eta). \tag{3.47}$$

From (3.46)–(3.47) we have

$$P_n^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(\eta) = \alpha_n P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\eta) + \beta_n P_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(\eta) + \gamma_n P_{n-2}^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(\eta) \quad (n \ge 0), \tag{3.48}$$

where  $\alpha_n$ ,  $\beta_n$  and  $\gamma_n$  are

$$\alpha_n = \frac{(2n+\alpha+\beta+1)(2n+\alpha+\beta+2)}{(n+\alpha+\beta+1)(n+\alpha+\beta+2)}, \quad \beta_n = \frac{(\beta-\alpha)(2n+\alpha+\beta+1)}{(n+\alpha+\beta+2)(2n+\alpha+\beta)},$$

$$\gamma_n = \frac{(n+\alpha)(n+\beta)(2n+\alpha+\beta+2)}{(n+\alpha+\beta+1)(n+\alpha+\beta+2)(2n+\alpha+\beta)}.$$
(3.49)

By substituting (3.48) into the second term of the r.h.s of (3.48) and repeating this,  $P_n^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(\eta)$  has the following form

$$P_n^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(\eta) = p_n^{(k)}(\eta) + \beta_n^{(k)} P_{n-k}^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(\eta) + \gamma_n^{(k)} P_{n-k-1}^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(\eta), \tag{3.50}$$

and we have

$$\begin{split} &P_{n}^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(\eta) \\ &= p_{n}^{(k)}(\eta) + \beta_{n}^{(k)} \left(\alpha_{n-k} P_{n-k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\eta) + \beta_{n-k} P_{n-k-1}^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(\eta) + \gamma_{n-k} P_{n-k-2}^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(\eta)\right) + \gamma_{n}^{(k)} P_{n-k-1}^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(\eta) \\ &= p_{n}^{(k)}(\eta) + \alpha_{n-k} \beta_{n}^{(k)} P_{n-k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\eta) + (\beta_{n-k} \beta_{n}^{(k)} + \gamma_{n}^{(k)}) P_{n-k-1}^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(\eta) + \gamma_{n-k} \beta_{n}^{(k)} P_{n-k-2}^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(\eta) \\ &= p_{n}^{(k+1)}(\eta) + \beta_{n}^{(k+1)} P_{n-k-1}^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(\eta) + \gamma_{n}^{(k+1)} P_{n-k-2}^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(\eta). \end{split}$$

Namely  $p_n^{(k)}(\eta)$ ,  $\beta_n^{(k)}$  and  $\gamma_n^{(k)}$  satisfy the recurrence relations:

$$p_n^{(k+1)}(\eta) = p_n^{(k)}(\eta) + \alpha_{n-k}\beta_n^{(k)}P_{n-k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\eta),$$
  

$$\beta_n^{(k+1)} = \beta_{n-k}\beta_n^{(k)} + \gamma_n^{(k)}, \quad \gamma_n^{(k+1)} = \gamma_{n-k}\beta_n^{(k)} \quad (1 \le k \le n),$$
(3.51)

with the initial values,

$$p_n^{(1)}(\eta) = \alpha_n P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\eta), \quad \beta_n^{(1)} = \beta_n, \quad \gamma_n^{(1)} = \gamma_n.$$
 (3.52)

From this,  $P_n^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(\eta) = p_n^{(n+1)}(\eta)$  is expressed as

$$P_n^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(\eta) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)} P_{n-k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\eta), \quad a_{n,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha_n & : k = 0 \\ \alpha_{n-k} \beta_n^{(k)} & : 1 \le k \le n \end{array} \right., \tag{3.53}$$

and  $c_{n,k}$  in (3.13) is given by

$$c_{n,k} = \frac{1}{2}(n+\alpha+\beta+1)a_{n-1,k-1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}.$$
(3.54)

Therefore  $\Delta$  and  $\Gamma$  become

$$\Delta = A_n e^{\partial_n} + B_n + C_n e^{-\partial_n}, \quad \Gamma = \frac{1}{2} (n + \alpha + \beta + 1) \sum_{k=1}^n a_{n-1,k-1}^{(\alpha,\beta)} : e^{-k\partial_n} : .$$
 (3.55)

We can check that  $b_{n,k}$  (3.19) vanishes. The operators  $\Delta$  and  $\Gamma$  satisfy

$$[\Delta, \Gamma] = 1 - \frac{1}{2}(n + \alpha + \beta + 1)a_{n-1, n-1}^{(\alpha, \beta)} C_0 O_1.$$
(3.56)

Explicit forms of  $a_{n,k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$  for lower k are

$$a_{n,0}^{(\alpha,\beta)} = \frac{(2n+\alpha+\beta+1)_2}{(n+\alpha+\beta+1)_2}, \quad a_{n,1}^{(\alpha,\beta)} = \frac{(\beta-\alpha)(2n+\alpha+\beta-1)(2n+\alpha+\beta+1)}{(n+\alpha+\beta)_3},$$

$$a_{n,2}^{(\alpha,\beta)} = \frac{(2n+\alpha+\beta-3)(2n+\alpha+\beta)\left((n+\alpha)(n+\beta)+(\alpha-\beta)^2-1\right)}{(n+\alpha+\beta-1)_4},$$

$$a_{n,3}^{(\alpha,\beta)} = \frac{(\beta-\alpha)(2n+\alpha+\beta-5)(2n+\alpha+\beta-1)}{(n+\alpha+\beta-2)_5}$$

$$\times \left(2(n+\alpha+\beta)(n-1)+\alpha(\alpha+1)+\beta(\beta+1)-2\right),$$

$$a_{n,4}^{(\alpha,\beta)} = \frac{(2n+\alpha+\beta-7)(2n+\alpha+\beta-2)}{16(n+\alpha+\beta-3)_6}$$

$$\times \left(5(\alpha-\beta)^4+10(\alpha-\beta)^2\left(4n(n+\alpha+\beta-2)+(\alpha+\beta+1)(\alpha+\beta-5)+3\right) + (2n+\alpha+\beta-6)(2n+\alpha+\beta-4)(2n+\alpha+\beta)(2n+\alpha+\beta+2)\right).$$

### 3.3 Bispectral property

Following the arguments in [39], we discuss the bispectral property of the multi-indexed Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials (1.1).

From (2.1)–(2.2), the M-th order differential operators  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(\mathcal{D})}$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(\mathcal{D})}$  are expressed as determinants:

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(\mathcal{D})} = \rho_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}}^{(\mathcal{D})}(\eta) \begin{vmatrix} \mu_{d_1} & \cdots & \mu_{d_M} & 1 \\ \mu_{d_1}^{(1)} & \cdots & \mu_{d_M}^{(1)} & \partial_{\eta} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \mu_{d_1}^{(M)} & \cdots & \mu_{d_M}^{(M)} & \partial_{\eta}^{M} \end{vmatrix}, \quad \hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(\mathcal{D})} = \rho_{\hat{\mathcal{B}}}^{(\mathcal{D})}(\eta) \begin{vmatrix} m_1 & \cdots & m_M & 1 \\ m_1^{(1)} & \cdots & m_M^{(1)} & \partial_{\eta} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ m_1^{(M)} & \cdots & m_M^{(M)} & \partial_{\eta}^{M} \end{vmatrix},$$
(3.58)

where  $\mu_{\mathbf{v}}^{(i)} = \partial_{\eta}^{i} \mu_{\mathbf{v}}(\eta)$  and  $m_{j}^{(i)} = \partial_{\eta}^{i} m_{j}(\eta)$ . Our definition of the determinant (order of the matrix elements) is

$$\det(a_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le n} = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_n=1}^n \varepsilon_{i_1i_2\dots i_n} a_{i_11} a_{i_22} \cdots a_{i_nn}, \tag{3.59}$$

where  $\varepsilon_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}$  is the antisymmetric symbol. From these forms and (2.1)–(2.2), the operator

 $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(\mathcal{D})}$  belongs to  $\mathbb{C}[\partial_{\eta}, \eta]$  but  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(\mathcal{D})}$  does not. We have

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(\mathcal{D})}P_n(\eta) = P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta), \quad \hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(\mathcal{D})}P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta) = \pi_{\mathcal{D}}(n)P_n(\eta), \quad \pi_{\mathcal{D}}(n) = \prod_{j=1}^M (\mathcal{E}_n - \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{d_j}), \quad (3.60)$$

and these give the following:

$$\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(\mathcal{D})}\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(\mathcal{D})}P_n(\eta) = \pi_{\mathcal{D}}(n)P_n(\eta), \quad \hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(\mathcal{D})}\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(\mathcal{D})}P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta) = \pi_{\mathcal{D}}(n)P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta). \tag{3.61}$$

For  $X(\eta)$  (2.12), the operator  $\Theta_{X,\mathcal{D}} = \hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(\mathcal{D})} \circ X(\eta) \circ \hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(\mathcal{D})}$  belongs to  $\mathbb{C}[\partial_{\eta}, \eta]$ . Therefore we can consider  $\flat(\Theta_{X,\mathcal{D}})$ . Following the argument in [39], let us define  $\Delta_{X,\mathcal{D}}$ ,

$$\Delta_{X,\mathcal{D}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \flat(\Theta_{X,\mathcal{D}}) \circ \pi_{\mathcal{D}}^{-1}(n), \tag{3.62}$$

which commutes with  $\eta$  and  $\partial_{\eta}$ . Then we have a theorem.

**Theorem 2** For the multi-indexed Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials  $P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta)$  and a polynomial  $X(\eta)$  (2.12), we have

$$X(\eta)P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta) = \Delta_{X,\mathcal{D}}P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta). \tag{3.63}$$

<u>Proof</u> We have

$$(\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(\mathcal{D})}X(\eta))P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta) = \hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(\mathcal{D})}X(\eta)\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(\mathcal{D})}P_n(\eta) = (\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(\mathcal{D})} \circ X(\eta) \circ \hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(\mathcal{D})})P_n(\eta)$$

$$= \flat (\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(\mathcal{D})} \circ X(\eta) \circ \hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(\mathcal{D})})P_n(\eta) = (\Delta_{X,\mathcal{D}} \circ \pi_{\mathcal{D}}(n))P_n(\eta) = \Delta_{X,\mathcal{D}}\pi_{\mathcal{D}}(n)P_n(\eta)$$

$$= \Delta_{X,\mathcal{D}}\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(\mathcal{D})}\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(\mathcal{D})}P_n(\eta) = (\Delta_{X,\mathcal{D}}\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(\mathcal{D})})\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(\mathcal{D})}P_n(\eta) = (\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(\mathcal{D})}\Delta_{X,\mathcal{D}})P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta), \tag{3.64}$$

where we have used (3.60)–(3.61), (3.29) and  $[\Delta_{X,\mathcal{D}},\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(\mathcal{D})}]=0$ . Therefore we obtain

$$\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(\mathcal{D})}(X(\eta) - \Delta_{X,\mathcal{D}})P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta) = 0. \tag{3.65}$$

For appropriate parameter range, various operators appearing in each step of the Darboux transformations are non-singular and we can use properties of the inner product  $(f,g) = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} dx f(x)g(x)$ . For any polynomial  $\mathcal{P}(\eta)$  in  $\eta$ , we have

$$(\phi_{\mathcal{D}n}(x), \Psi_{\mathcal{D}}(x)\mathcal{P}(\eta(x))) = (\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{(\mathcal{D})}\phi_0(x)P_n(\eta(x)), \Psi_{\mathcal{D}}(x)\mathcal{P}(\eta(x))) = (\phi_0P_n, \hat{\mathcal{A}}^{(\mathcal{D})\dagger}\Psi_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{P})$$

$$= (\phi_0P_n, \phi_0\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(\mathcal{D})}\mathcal{P}) = (\phi_0^2P_n, \hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(\mathcal{D})}\mathcal{P}), \tag{3.66}$$

where we have used (A.30), (A.42), etc. If  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(\mathcal{D})}\mathcal{P} = 0$ , we have  $(\phi_{\mathcal{D}n}, \Psi_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{P}) = 0$  and the completeness of  $\phi_{\mathcal{D}n}$  implies  $\mathcal{P} = 0$ . We remark that this result is derived for appropriate

parameter range but it is valid for any parameter range because it is a relation of a polynomial. Therefore (3.65) gives (3.63).

**Remark 1** We have used (3.29) but not used (3.30). For  $\flat(\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(\mathcal{D})} \circ X(\eta) \circ \hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(\mathcal{D})})$ , we can not apply (3.30), because  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(\mathcal{D})}$  does not belong to  $\mathbb{C}[\partial_{\eta}, \eta]$ . The commutativity  $[\Delta_{X,\mathcal{D}}, \hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(\mathcal{D})}] = 0$  is important.

**Remark 2** If we already know the coefficients  $r_{n,k}^{X,\mathcal{D}}$  (2.8)–(2.10), the operator  $\Delta_{X,\mathcal{D}}$  is expressed as

$$\Delta_{X,\mathcal{D}} = \sum_{k=-L}^{L} r_{n,k}^{X,\mathcal{D}} e^{k\partial_n} = \sum_{k=-L}^{L} r_{n,k}^{(0)X,\mathcal{D}} e^{k\partial_n} \circ \pi_{\mathcal{D}}^{-1}(n).$$
 (3.67)

### 3.4 Examples

As an illustration of Theorem 2, we present examples: M = 1 case,  $\mathcal{D} = \{d_1\}$ .

Eqs.(3.58) give

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(\mathcal{D})} = \rho_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}}^{(\mathcal{D})} \mu_{d_1}^2 \partial_{\eta} \circ \mu_{d_1}^{-1}, \quad \hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(\mathcal{D})} = \rho_{\hat{\mathcal{B}}}^{(\mathcal{D})} m_1^2 \partial_{\eta} \circ m_1^{-1}, \tag{3.68}$$

and  $\Theta_{X,\mathcal{D}}$  becomes

$$\Theta_{X,\mathcal{D}} = \rho_{\hat{\mathcal{B}}}^{(\mathcal{D})} m_1^2 \partial_{\eta} \circ m_1^{-1} X \rho_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}}^{(\mathcal{D})} \mu_{d_1}^2 \partial_{\eta} \circ \mu_{d_1}^{-1} 
= \rho_{\hat{\mathcal{B}}}^{(\mathcal{D})} \rho_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}}^{(\mathcal{D})} X m_1 \mu_{d_1} \partial_{\eta}^2 + \rho_{\hat{\mathcal{B}}}^{(\mathcal{D})} m_1^2 \mu_{d_1} \partial_{\eta} \left( m_1^{-1} X \rho_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}}^{(\mathcal{D})} \right) \partial_{\eta} - \rho_{\hat{\mathcal{B}}}^{(\mathcal{D})} m_1^2 \partial_{\eta} \left( m_1^{-1} X \rho_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}}^{(\mathcal{D})} \partial_{\eta} \mu_{d_1} \right).$$
(3.69)

#### 3.4.1 Laguerre

Let us consider type I Laguerre case,  $\mathcal{D} = \{d_1^{\mathrm{I}}\}$ . Then we have  $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta) = L_{d_1}^{(g-\frac{1}{2})}(-\eta) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \xi(\eta)$  and

$$\rho_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}}^{(\mathcal{D})} = e^{-\eta}, \quad \rho_{\hat{\mathcal{B}}}^{(\mathcal{D})} = -4\eta^{g+\frac{3}{2}}\xi^{-1}, \quad \mu_{d_1} = e^{\eta}\xi, \quad m_1 = \eta^{-g-\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{3.70}$$

and  $\Theta_{X,\mathcal{D}}$  becomes

$$-\frac{1}{4}\Theta_{X,\mathcal{D}} = \eta X \partial_{\eta}^{2} + \left( (g + \frac{1}{2} - \eta)X + \eta \xi Y \right) \partial_{\eta} - (d_{1} + g + \frac{1}{2})X - \eta(\xi + \partial_{\eta}\xi)Y, \tag{3.71}$$

where we have used  $\partial_{\eta}X = \Xi_{\mathcal{D}}Y$  and  $\eta \partial_{\eta}^{2}\xi + (g + \frac{1}{2} + \eta)\partial_{\eta}\xi = d_{1}\xi$ . For simplicity we take  $d_{1} = 1$  and a minimal degree one  $X_{\min}$ , which corresponds to  $Y(\eta) = 1$ . Then we have

$$X(\eta) = X_{\min}(\eta) = \frac{1}{2}\eta(\eta + 2g + 1) = L_2^{(g - \frac{3}{2})}(-\eta) - L_2^{(g - \frac{3}{2})}(0),$$

$$\Theta_{X,\mathcal{D}} = \left(-2\eta^3 - 4(g + \frac{1}{2})\eta^2\right)\partial_{\eta}^2 + \left(2\eta^3 + 2(g - \frac{3}{2})\eta^2 - 4(g + \frac{1}{2})_2\eta\right)\partial_{\eta}$$
(3.72)

$$+2(g+\frac{7}{2})\eta^2+4(g+\frac{3}{2})^2\eta. \tag{3.73}$$

By the map  $\flat$ ,  $\Theta_{X,\mathcal{D}}$  is mapped to

$$\flat(\Theta_{X,\mathcal{D}}) = \Gamma^2 \left( -2\Delta^3 - 4(g + \frac{1}{2})\Delta^2 \right) + \Gamma \left( 2\Delta^3 + 2(g - \frac{3}{2})\Delta^2 - 4(g + \frac{1}{2})_2 \Delta \right) 
+ 2(g + \frac{7}{2})\Delta^2 + 4(g + \frac{3}{2})^2 \Delta.$$
(3.74)

The operators  $\Delta$  and  $\Gamma$  are given in (3.42) and  $\Gamma^2$  (3.32) is  $\Gamma^2 = \sum_{k=2}^n (k-1) : e^{-k\partial_n} :$  A straightforward calculation gives

$$b(\Theta_{X,\mathcal{D}}) = \frac{1}{2}(n+2)_2 \times 4(n+g+\frac{7}{2})e^{2\partial_n} - (n+1)(2g+2n+3) \times 4(n+g+\frac{5}{2})e^{\partial_n} 
+ \frac{1}{8}(24n^2 + 4(10g+11)n + (2g+1)(6g+13)) \times 4(n+g+\frac{3}{2}) 
- \frac{1}{2}(2g+2n-1)(2g+2n+3) \times 4(n+g+\frac{1}{2})e^{-\partial_n} 
+ \frac{1}{8}(2g+2n-3)(2g+2n+3) \times 4(n+g-\frac{1}{2})e^{-2\partial_n} + O,$$
(3.75)

where O is an element of  $\mathbb{C}[O_1, O_2, \ldots]$ 

$$O = \left(g - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \left(3(2g - 3)n - 8\right)O_1 - 4\left(g - \frac{1}{2}\right)_2 \left((2g - 1)n - 1\right)O_2 + 2n\left(g - \frac{5}{2}\right)_3 O_3, \quad (3.76)$$

which annihilates  $P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta)$ . By using (3.63) and  $\pi_{\mathcal{D}}(n) = 4(n+g+\frac{3}{2})$ , we obtain

$$\begin{split} r_{n,2}^{X,\mathcal{D}} &= \frac{1}{2}(n+1)_2, \quad r_{n,1}^{X,\mathcal{D}} = -(n+1)(2g+2n+3), \\ r_{n,0}^{X,\mathcal{D}} &= \frac{1}{8} \left( 24n^2 + 4(10g+11)n + (2g+1)(6g+13) \right), \\ r_{n,-1}^{X,\mathcal{D}} &= -\frac{1}{2}(2g+2n-1)(2g+2n+3), \quad r_{n,-2}^{X,\mathcal{D}} = \frac{1}{8}(2g+2n-3)(2g+2n+3). \end{split} \tag{3.77}$$

These 5-term recurrence relations were given in [36, 37, 38].

#### 3.4.2 Jacobi

Let us consider type I Jacobi case,  $\mathcal{D} = \{d_1^{\mathrm{I}}\}$ . We set a = g + h and b = g - h. Then we have  $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta) = P_{d_1}^{(g-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}-h)}(\eta) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \xi(\eta)$  and

$$\rho_{\hat{\mathcal{L}}}^{(\mathcal{D})} = \left(\frac{1+\eta}{2}\right)^{h+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \rho_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}}^{(\mathcal{D})} = -16\left(\frac{1-\eta}{2}\right)^{g+\frac{3}{2}}\xi^{-1}, \quad \mu_{d_1} = \left(\frac{1+\eta}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-h}\xi, \quad m_1 = \left(\frac{1-\eta}{2}\right)^{-g-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (3.78)$$

and  $\Theta_{X,\mathcal{D}}$  becomes

$$-\frac{1}{4}\Theta_{X,\mathcal{D}} = (1-\eta^2)X\partial_{\eta}^2 + \left(-(b+(a+1)\eta)X + (1-\eta^2)\xi Y\right)\partial_{\eta}$$

$$+ \left(d_1(d_1+1+b) - (g+\frac{1}{2})(h-\frac{1}{2})\right)X + \left((h-\frac{1}{2})(1-\eta)\xi - (1-\eta^2)\partial_{\eta}\xi\right)Y,$$
(3.79)

where we have used  $\partial_{\eta}X = \Xi_{\mathcal{D}}Y$  and  $(1-\eta^2)\partial_{\eta}^2\xi + (1-a-(b+2)\eta)\partial_{\eta}\xi = -d_1(d_1+1+b)\xi$ . For simplicity we take  $d_1 = 1$  and a minimal degree one  $X_{\min}$ , which corresponds to  $Y(\eta) = 1$ . Then we have

$$X(\eta) = X_{\min}(\eta) = \frac{1}{4}\eta \left( (b+2)\eta + 2(a-1) \right) = \frac{2}{b+1} \left( P_2^{(g-\frac{3}{2}, -h-\frac{1}{2})}(\eta) - P_2^{(g-\frac{3}{2}, -h-\frac{1}{2})}(0) \right),$$

$$(3.80)$$

$$\Theta_{X,\mathcal{D}} = \left( (b+2)\eta^4 + 2(a-1)\eta^3 - (b+2)\eta^2 - 2(a-1)\eta \right) \partial_{\eta}^2$$

$$+ \left( (a+3)(b+2)\eta^3 + (2a^2+b^2+4g-4)\eta^2 + 2((a-2)b-2)\eta - 2a+2 \right) \partial_{\eta}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4}(2h-3)\left( (b+2)(2g+7)\eta^2 + 2(a(a+b)+g+7h-5)\eta - 4a-4 \right).$$

$$(3.81)$$

By the map  $\flat$ ,  $\Theta_{X,\mathcal{D}}$  is mapped to

$$b(\Theta_{X,\mathcal{D}}) = \Gamma^2 \left( (b+2)\Delta^4 + 2(a-1)\Delta^3 - (b+2)\Delta^2 - 2(a-1)\Delta \right) 
+ \Gamma \left( (a+3)(b+2)\Delta^3 + (2a^2+b^2+4g-4)\Delta^2 + 2((a-2)b-2)\Delta - 2a+2 \right) 
+ \frac{1}{4}(2h-3)\left( (b+2)(2g+7)\Delta^2 + 2\left( a(a+b) + 4a - 3b - 5 \right)\Delta - 4a - 4 \right). (3.82)$$

The operators  $\Delta$  and  $\Gamma$  are given in (3.55) and  $\Delta^i$  and  $\Gamma^2$  are given in (3.31)–(3.32). By using (3.57), a straightforward but a little lengthy calculation gives

$$b(\Theta_{X,\mathcal{D}}) = \frac{(n+1)_2(b+2)(a+n)_2(2h+2n-3)}{(a+2n)_4(2h+2n+1)} \times (2n+2g+7)(2n+2h+1)e^{2\partial_n} \\
+ \frac{(n+1)(a-1)(a+n)(2g+2n+3)(2h+2n-3)}{(a+2n-1)_3(a+2n+3)} \times (2n+2g+5)(2n+2h-1)e^{\partial_n} \\
+ \frac{b+2}{4(a+2n-2)_2(a+2n+1)_2} \left(-b(b+4)\left(2n(a+n)-(a-2)(a-1)\right)\right) \\
+ (a+2n-1)(a+2n+1)\left(2n(a+n)-(a-2)(2a-1)\right) \times (2n+2g+3)(2n+2h-3) \\
+ \frac{(a-1)(2g+2n-1)(2g+2n+3)(h+n-\frac{3}{2})_2}{(a+2n-3)(a+2n-1)_3} \times (2n+2g+1)(2n+2h-5)e^{-\partial_n} \\
+ \frac{(b+2)(2g+2n-3)(2g+2n+3)(h+n-\frac{3}{2})_2}{4(a+2n-3)_4} \times (2n+2g-1)(2n+2h-7)e^{-2\partial_n} \\
+ \sum_{k=3}^{n} (\cdots) : e^{-k\partial_n} : +O, \tag{3.83}$$

where O is an element of  $\mathbb{C}[O_1, O_2, \ldots]$ . From Theorem 1 and 2, the coefficients  $(\cdots)$  in the sum  $\sum_{k=3}^n$  should vanish. By using (3.63) and  $\pi_{\mathcal{D}}(n) = (2n+2g+3)(2n+2h-3)$ , we obtain

$$r_{n,2}^{X,\mathcal{D}} = \frac{(n+1)_2(b+2)(a+n)_2(2h+2n-3)}{(a+2n)_4(2h+2n+1)},$$

$$r_{n,1}^{X,\mathcal{D}} = \frac{(n+1)(a-1)(a+n)(2g+2n+3)(2h+2n-3)}{(a+2n-1)_3(a+2n+3)},$$

$$r_{n,0}^{X,\mathcal{D}} = \frac{b+2}{4(a+2n-2)_2(a+2n+1)_2} \left(-b(b+4)\left(2n(a+n)-(a-2)(a-1)\right) + (a+2n-1)(a+2n+1)\left(2n(a+n)-(a-2)(2a-1)\right)\right),$$

$$r_{n,-1}^{X,\mathcal{D}} = \frac{(a-1)(2g+2n-1)(2g+2n+3)(h+n-\frac{3}{2})_2}{(a+2n-3)(a+2n-1)_3},$$

$$r_{n,-2}^{X,\mathcal{D}} = \frac{(b+2)(2g+2n-3)(2g+2n+3)(h+n-\frac{3}{2})_2}{4(a+2n-3)_4},$$

which were given in [38] (g = h case was given in [37]).

# 4 Summary and Comments

The recurrence relations with constant coefficients for the multi-indexed Laguerre and Jacobi orthogonal polynomials conjectured in our previous paper II [38] are established as Theorem 1 by following the argument in [39]. Their bispectral properties are also discussed by the similar argument in [39] and Theorem 2 is obtained. To obtain this, the map  $\flat$  plays an important role but it is not an anti-isomorphism in contrast to the exceptional Hermite case in [39]. The discussion in § 3.2 is valid for any ordinary orthogonal polynomials.

From Theorem 2, we can obtain the coefficients  $r_{n,k}^{X,\mathcal{D}}$  explicitly as demonstrated in § 3.4, because  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(\mathcal{D})}$ ,  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(\mathcal{D})}$ ,  $X(\eta)$ ,  $\Xi(\eta)$ ,  $\Delta$ ,  $\Gamma$  and  $\pi_{\mathcal{D}}(n)$  are known as (3.58), (2.12), (B.9), (3.42), (3.55) and (2.3). In practice, however, this calculation is not so easy. The examples in [38] were obtained by a brute force method: Expand  $X(\eta)P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta)$  in terms of  $P_{\mathcal{D},m}(\eta)$  for small n, and guess  $r_{n,k}^{X,\mathcal{D}}$  for arbitrary n (Or, based on (2.10), calculate  $\Theta_{X,\mathcal{D}}P_n(\eta)$  and expand it in terms of  $P_m(\eta)$  for small n, and guess  $r_{n,k}^{(0)X,\mathcal{D}}$  for arbitrary n). We hope to find a more efficient method to obtain  $r_{n,k}^{X,\mathcal{D}}$ .

In our previous paper II [38], the recurrence relations with constant coefficients are conjectured also for the multi-indexed Wilson and Askey-Wilson orthogonal polynomials. These polynomials satisfy second order difference equations. The method in the present paper may be applied to these polynomials but it seems more difficult technically. We hope this problem will be solved in the near future.

# Acknowledgments

I thank R. Sasaki for discussion and reading of the manuscript. I am supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT), No.25400395.

# A Darboux Transformation

In this appendix we review the algebraic aspects of the Darboux transformation [33]. We do not discuss non-singularity of operators, square integrability of wavefunctions, etc.

Various formulas in the Darboux transformation are expressed in terms of the Wronskian. The Wronskian of a set of n functions  $\{f_j(x)\}$  is defined by

$$W[f_1, \dots, f_n](x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \det\left(\frac{d^{j-1}f_k(x)}{dx^{j-1}}\right)_{1 \le j,k \le n}, \tag{A.1}$$

(for n = 0, we set W[·](x) = 1). It satisfies the following identities  $(n \ge 0)$ ,

$$W[gf_1, gf_2, \dots, gf_n](x) = g(x)^n W[f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n](x),$$
 (A.2)

$$W[W[f_1, f_2, ..., f_n, g], W[f_1, f_2, ..., f_n, h]](x)$$

$$= W[f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n](x) W[f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, g, h](x),$$
(A.3)

$$W[f_1, f_2, ..., f_n](x) = \left(\frac{d\eta(x)}{dx}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)} W[F_1, F_2, ..., F_n](\eta(x)),$$

where 
$$f_j(x) = F_j(\eta(x)),$$
 (A.4)

$$W[F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n](x) = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)}W[f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n](x)^{n-1}$$

where 
$$F_i(x) = W[f_1, \dots, f_{i-1}, f_{i+1}, \dots, f_n](x)$$
. (A.5)

We learned (A.5) in Ref.[39].

### A.1 Darboux transformation

We consider the Schrödinger equation,

$$\mathcal{H}\psi(x) = \mathcal{E}\psi(x), \quad \mathcal{H} = p^2 + U(x), \quad p = -i\frac{d}{dx}, \quad x_1 < x < x_2.$$
 (A.6)

By taking a seed solution  $\phi(x)$ , which is any solution of the Schrödinger equation,

$$\mathcal{H}\tilde{\phi}(x) = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}\tilde{\phi}(x),\tag{A.7}$$

the Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H}$  is expressed as

$$\mathcal{H} = \hat{\mathcal{A}}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathcal{A}} + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \quad \hat{\mathcal{A}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{d}{dx} - \partial_x \log |\tilde{\phi}(x)|, \quad \hat{\mathcal{A}}^{\dagger} = -\left(\frac{d}{dx} - \partial_x \log |\tilde{\phi}^{-1}(x)|\right), \tag{A.8}$$

where  $f^{-1}(x)$  means  $f^{-1}(x) = f(x)^{-1}$ . We do not discuss the non-singularity of the operators  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{\dagger}$  as mentioned above. The Darboux transformation is given by

$$\mathcal{H}^{\text{new}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \hat{\mathcal{A}} \hat{\mathcal{A}}^{\dagger} + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \quad \psi^{\text{new}}(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \hat{\mathcal{A}} \psi(x).$$
 (A.9)

Then we have

$$\mathcal{H}^{\text{new}}\psi^{\text{new}}(x) = \mathcal{E}\psi^{\text{new}}(x), \tag{A.10}$$

$$\mathcal{H}^{\text{new}}\tilde{\phi}^{-1}(x) = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}\tilde{\phi}^{-1}(x) \quad (\Leftarrow \hat{\mathcal{A}}^{\dagger}\tilde{\phi}^{-1}(x) = 0), \tag{A.11}$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{\dagger}\psi^{\text{new}}(x) = (\mathcal{E} - \tilde{\mathcal{E}})\psi(x). \tag{A.12}$$

The first and second equations say that  $\psi^{\text{new}}$  and  $\tilde{\phi}^{-1}$  are solutions of the new Schrödinger equation, but it does not mean that they exhaust all of the solutions. We remark that the new wavefunction corresponding to the seed solution is absent in the new system, because  $\tilde{\phi}^{\text{new}}(x) = \hat{\mathcal{A}}\tilde{\phi}(x) = 0$ . The second equation of (A.9) and (A.12) are expressed in terms of the Wronskian:

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}}\psi(x) = \frac{W[\tilde{\phi}, \psi](x)}{\tilde{\phi}(x)} = \psi^{\text{new}}(x), \quad \hat{\mathcal{A}}^{\dagger}\psi^{\text{new}}(x) = -\frac{W[\tilde{\phi}^{-1}, \psi^{\text{new}}](x)}{\tilde{\phi}^{-1}(x)} = (\mathcal{E} - \tilde{\mathcal{E}})\psi(x). \quad (A.13)$$

# A.2 Multi-step Darboux transformation

Assume that the original Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H} = p^2 + U(x)$  has eigenstates  $\phi_n(x)$ ,

$$\mathcal{H}\phi_n(x) = \mathcal{E}_n\phi_n(x) \quad (n = 0, 1, \ldots), \quad 0 = \mathcal{E}_0 < \mathcal{E}_1 < \cdots,$$
 (A.14)

where we have chosen the constant term of U(x) such that  $\mathcal{E}_0 = 0$ . We take seed solutions  $\tilde{\phi}_{d_j}(x)$ ,

$$\mathcal{H}\tilde{\phi}_{d_j}(x) = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{d_j}\tilde{\phi}_{d_j}(x) \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, M). \tag{A.15}$$

By rewriting the original Hamiltonian (0-th step Hamiltonian) as  $\mathcal{H} = \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{d_1}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{d_1} + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{d_1}$ , we perform the Darboux transformation. By repeating this procedure, the s-step system is obtained from the (s-1)-th step system:

$$\mathcal{H}_{d_1...d_s} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{d_1...d_s} \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{d_1...d_s}^{\dagger} + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{d_s} \left( = \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{d_1...d_{s+1}}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{d_1...d_{s+1}} + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{d_{s+1}} \right)$$
 for the next step), (A.16)

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{d_1...d_s} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{d}{dx} - \partial_x \log \left| \tilde{\phi}_{d_1...d_s}(x) \right|, \quad \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{d_1...d_s}^{\dagger} = -\left( \frac{d}{dx} - \partial_x \log \left| \tilde{\phi}_{d_1...d_s}^{-1}(x) \right| \right), \tag{A.17}$$

$$\phi_{d_1...d_s n}(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{d_1...d_s} \phi_{d_1...d_{s-1} n}(x) \quad (n = 0, 1, ...),$$
(A.18)

$$\tilde{\phi}_{d_1...d_s \, \mathbf{v}}(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{d_1...d_s} \tilde{\phi}_{d_1...d_{s-1} \, \mathbf{v}}(x) \quad (\mathbf{v} = d_{s+1}, d_{s+2}, \dots, d_M),$$
(A.19)

$$\check{\Phi}_{d_1\dots d_s}^{(j)}(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (-1)^{s-j} \tilde{\phi}_{d_1\dots d_{j-1}d_{j+1}\dots d_sd_j}^{-1}(x) \quad (j=1,2,\dots,s), \tag{A.20}$$

which satisfy

$$\mathcal{H}_{d_1...d_s}\phi_{d_1...d_s} n(x) = \mathcal{E}_n \phi_{d_1...d_s} n(x) \quad (n = 0, 1, ...),$$
 (A.21)

$$\mathcal{H}_{d_1...d_s}\tilde{\phi}_{d_1...d_s\,v}(x) = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_v\tilde{\phi}_{d_1...d_s\,v}(x) \quad (v = d_{s+1}, d_{s+2}, \dots, d_M),$$
 (A.22)

$$\mathcal{H}_{d_1...d_s} \breve{\Phi}_{d_1...d_s}^{(j)}(x) = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{d_j} \breve{\Phi}_{d_1...d_s}^{(j)}(x) \quad (j = 1, 2, ..., s),$$
(A.23)

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{d_1...d_s}^{\dagger} \phi_{d_1...d_s} n(x) = (\mathcal{E}_n - \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{d_s}) \phi_{d_1...d_{s-1}} n(x). \tag{A.24}$$

The wavefunctions (A.18)–(A.20) and the potential  $(\mathcal{H}_{d_1...d_s} = p^2 + U_{d_1...d_s}(x))$  are expressed in terms of the Wronskian,

$$\phi_{d_1...d_s n}(x) = \frac{W[\tilde{\phi}_{d_1}, \dots, \tilde{\phi}_{d_s}, \phi_n](x)}{W[\tilde{\phi}_{d_1}, \dots, \tilde{\phi}_{d_s}](x)},$$
(A.25)

$$\tilde{\phi}_{d_1...d_s \, \mathbf{v}}(x) = \frac{\mathbf{W}[\tilde{\phi}_{d_1}, \dots, \tilde{\phi}_{d_s}, \tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{v}}](x)}{\mathbf{W}[\tilde{\phi}_{d_1}, \dots, \tilde{\phi}_{d_s}](x)},\tag{A.26}$$

$$\check{\Phi}_{d_1...d_s}^{(j)}(x) = \frac{W[\tilde{\phi}_{d_1}, \dots, \tilde{\phi}_{d_{j-1}}, \tilde{\phi}_{d_{j+1}}, \dots, \tilde{\phi}_{d_s}](x)}{W[\tilde{\phi}_{d_1}, \dots, \tilde{\phi}_{d_s}](x)}, \tag{A.27}$$

$$U_{d_1...d_s}(x) = U(x) - 2\partial_x^2 \log |W[\tilde{\phi}_{d_1}, \dots, \tilde{\phi}_{d_s}](x)|,$$
 (A.28)

which are shown by using (A.2)–(A.3). Note that  $\mathcal{H}_{d_1...d_s}$ ,  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{d_1...d_s}$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{d_1...d_s}^{\dagger}$  are independent of the order of  $d_1, \ldots, d_s$  ( $\phi_{d_1...d_s} n(x)$ ,  $\tilde{\phi}_{d_1...d_s} v(x)$  and  $\check{\Phi}_{d_1...d_s}^{(j)}(x)$  may change sign). Let us define  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{(d_1...d_s)}$  as

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{(d_1...d_s)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{d_1...d_s} \cdots \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{d_1d_2} \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{d_1}, \quad \hat{\mathcal{A}}^{(d_1...d_s)\dagger} = \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{d_1}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{d_1d_2}^{\dagger} \cdots \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{d_1...d_s}^{\dagger}.$$
 (A.29)

Then we have

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{(d_1...d_s)}\phi_n(x) = \phi_{d_1...d_s n}(x), \quad \hat{\mathcal{A}}^{(d_1...d_s) \dagger}\phi_{d_1...d_s n}(x) = \prod_{j=1}^s (\mathcal{E}_n - \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{d_j}) \cdot \phi_n(x).$$
 (A.30)

They are expressed in terms of the Wronskian,

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{(d_1...d_s)}\phi_n(x) = \frac{W[\tilde{\phi}_{d_1}, \dots, \tilde{\phi}_{d_s}, \phi_n](x)}{W[\tilde{\phi}_{d_1}, \dots, \tilde{\phi}_{d_s}](x)} = \phi_{d_1...d_s n}(x), \tag{A.31}$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{(d_1...d_s)\dagger} \phi_{d_1...d_s n}(x) = (-1)^s \frac{W[\check{\Phi}_{d_1...d_s}^{(1)}, \dots, \check{\Phi}_{d_1...d_s}^{(s)}, \phi_{d_1...d_s n}](x)}{W[\check{\Phi}_{d_1...d_s}^{(1)}, \dots, \check{\Phi}_{d_1...d_s}^{(s)}](x)} = \prod_{j=1}^s (\mathcal{E}_n - \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{d_j}) \cdot \phi_n(x)$$

$$= (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}s(s+1)} \frac{W[w_1, \dots, w_s, W[\tilde{\phi}_{d_1}, \dots, \tilde{\phi}_{d_s}, \phi_n]](x)}{W[\tilde{\phi}_{d_1}, \dots, \tilde{\phi}_{d_s}](x)^s}, \tag{A.32}$$

where  $w_j$  is  $w_j(x) = W[\tilde{\phi}_{d_1}, \dots, \tilde{\phi}_{d_{j-1}}, \tilde{\phi}_{d_{j+1}}, \dots, \tilde{\phi}_{d_s}](x)$ . As far as we know, this formula (A.32) is new. Eq. (A.31) is already given in (A.25). To obtain the second line of (A.32) from the first line, we use (A.5), and the formula (A.32) is shown by using (A.2)–(A.3).

### A.3 Polynomial type solutions

Let us assume that eigenfunctions  $\phi_n(x)$  and seed solutions  $\tilde{\phi}_v(x)$  are polynomial type solutions, namely they have the following forms,

$$\phi_n(x) = \phi_0(x) P_n(\eta(x)), \quad \tilde{\phi}_v(x) = \tilde{\phi}_{0(v)}(x) \xi_v(\eta(x)), \tag{A.33}$$

where  $\phi_0(x)$ ,  $\tilde{\phi}_{0(v)}(x)$  and  $\eta(x)$  are functions of x, and  $P_n(\eta)$  and  $\xi_v(\eta)$  are polynomials in  $\eta$ . For concrete examples, e.g. Laguerre and Jacobi cases given in Appendix B, the Wronskians in (A.31) have the following forms,

$$W[\tilde{\phi}_{d_1}, \dots, \tilde{\phi}_{d_s}](x) = \text{(some function of } x) \times \Xi_{d_1 \dots d_s}(\eta(x)), \tag{A.34}$$

$$W[\tilde{\phi}_{d_1}, \dots, \tilde{\phi}_{d_s}, \phi_n](x) = (\text{some function of } x) \times P_{d_1 \dots d_s, n}(\eta(x)), \tag{A.35}$$

where  $\Xi_{d_1...d_s}(\eta)$  and  $P_{d_1...d_s,n}(\eta)$  are polynomials in  $\eta$ . Therefore  $\phi_{d_1...d_s,n}(x)$  has the following form,

$$\phi_{d_1...d_s n}(x) = \Psi_{d_1...d_s}(x) P_{d_1...d_s,n}(\eta(x)), \quad \Psi_{d_1...d_s}(x) = \frac{\text{(some function of } x)}{\Xi_{d_1...d_s}(\eta(x))}.$$
(A.36)

Let us define the step forward  $(\hat{\mathcal{F}})$  and backward  $(\hat{\mathcal{B}})$  shift operators as,

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{d_1...d_s} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Psi_{d_1...d_s}^{-1}(x) \circ \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{d_1...d_s} \circ \Psi_{d_1...d_{s-1}}(x), \tag{A.37}$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{d_1...d_s} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Psi_{d_1...d_{s-1}}^{-1}(x) \circ \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{d_1...d_s}^{\dagger} \circ \Psi_{d_1...d_s}(x), \tag{A.38}$$

where  $\Psi_{d_1...d_{s-1}}(x)\big|_{s=1} = \phi_0(x)$ . The relations (A.18) and (A.24) are rewritten as

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{d_1...d_s} P_{d_1...d_{s-1},n}(\eta) = P_{d_1...d_s,n}(\eta), \quad \hat{\mathcal{B}}_{d_1...d_s} P_{d_1...d_s,n}(\eta) = (\mathcal{E}_n - \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{d_s}) P_{d_1...d_{s-1},n}(\eta). \quad (A.39)$$

The relations (A.30) are also rewritten as

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(d_1...d_s)}P_n(\eta) = P_{d_1...d_s,n}(\eta), \quad \hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(d_1...d_s)}P_{d_1...d_s,n}(\eta) = \prod_{j=1}^s (\mathcal{E}_n - \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{d_j}) \cdot P_n(\eta), \quad (A.40)$$

where the multi-step forward and backward shift operators,  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(d_1...d_s)}$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(d_1...d_s)}$ , are defined by

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(d_1...d_s)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{d_1...d_s} \cdots \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{d_1d_2} \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{d_1} = \Psi_{d_1...d_s}^{-1}(x) \circ \hat{\mathcal{A}}^{(d_1...d_s)} \circ \phi_0(x), \tag{A.41}$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(d_1...d_s)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \hat{\mathcal{B}}_{d_1} \hat{\mathcal{B}}_{d_1 d_2} \cdots \hat{\mathcal{B}}_{d_1...d_s} = \phi_0^{-1}(x) \circ \hat{\mathcal{A}}^{(d_1...d_s) \dagger} \circ \Psi_{d_1...d_s}(x). \tag{A.42}$$

We have

$$\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(d_1...d_s)}\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(d_1...d_s)}P_n(\eta) = \prod_{j=1}^s (\mathcal{E}_n - \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{d_j}) \cdot P_n(\eta), \tag{A.43}$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(d_1...d_s)}\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(d_1...d_s)}P_{d_1...d_s,n}(\eta) = \prod_{j=1}^s (\mathcal{E}_n - \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{d_j}) \cdot P_{d_1...d_s,n}(\eta).$$
(A.44)

Remark that

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(d_1...d_s)} P_n(\eta(x)) = \Psi_{d_1...d_s}^{-1}(x) \hat{\mathcal{A}}^{(d_1...d_s)} \phi_n(x), \tag{A.45}$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(d_1...d_s)} P_{d_1...d_s,n} (\eta(x)) = \phi_0^{-1}(x) \hat{\mathcal{A}}^{(d_1...d_s)\dagger} \phi_{d_1...d_s n}(x).$$
(A.46)

# B Multi-indexed Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials

In this appendix we review the multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials of Laguerre and Jacobi types [11], mainly their algebraic properties. They are obtained from the Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials by applying the multi-step Darboux transformation explained in Appendix A. If necessary, we write the parameter  $\lambda$  dependence explicitly,  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{d_1...d_s} = \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{d_1...d_s}(\lambda)$ ,  $\phi_{d_1...d_s n}(x) = \phi_{d_1...d_s n}(x; \lambda)$ ,  $P_{d_1...d_s,n}(\eta) = P_{d_1...d_s,n}(\eta; \lambda)$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_n = \mathcal{E}_n(\lambda)$ , etc. We assume that the parameters (g and h) are generic such that  $c_{d_1...d_s}^\Xi \neq 0$  (B.14),  $c_{d_1...d_s,n}^P \neq 0$  (B.15) and  $\mathcal{E}_n - \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{d_j} \neq 0$ .

The original systems are the radial oscillator and the Darboux-Pöschl-Teller potential for Laguerre (L) and Jacobi (J) cases respectively:

L: 
$$\mathcal{H} = p^2 + x^2 + \frac{g(g-1)}{x^2} - 2g - 1$$
,  $0 < x < \infty$ ,  $g > \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\lambda = g$ ,  $\delta = 1$ ,  $c_{\mathcal{F}} = 2$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_n = 4n$ ,

$$\eta(x) = x^{2}, \quad \phi_{0}(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^{2}}x^{g}, \quad P_{n}(\eta) = L_{n}^{(g-\frac{1}{2})}(\eta), \tag{B.1}$$

$$J: \mathcal{H} = p^{2} + \frac{g(g-1)}{\sin x^{2}} + \frac{h(h-1)}{\cos x^{2}} - (g+h)^{2}, \quad 0 < x < \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad g, h > \frac{1}{2},$$

$$\lambda = (g,h), \quad \delta = (1,1), \quad c_{\mathcal{F}} = -4, \quad \mathcal{E}_{n} = 4n(n+g+h),$$

$$\eta(x) = \cos 2x, \quad \phi_{0}(x) = (\sin x)^{g}(\cos x)^{h}, \quad P_{n}(\eta) = P_{n}^{(g-\frac{1}{2},h-\frac{1}{2})}(\eta),$$
(B.2)

with  $\phi_n(x) = \phi_0(x) P_n(\eta(x))$ . We take the virtual state wavefunctions as seed solutions,

L: type I: 
$$\tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathbf{I}}(x; \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} i^{-g} \phi_{\mathbf{v}}(ix; \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = e^{\frac{1}{2}x^2} x^g L_{\mathbf{v}}^{(g-\frac{1}{2})} \left(-\eta(x)\right), \quad \tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}^{\mathbf{I}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1,$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathbf{I}} = -4(g + \mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{2}), \tag{B.3}$$

type II: 
$$\tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathrm{II}}(x; \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi_{\mathbf{v}}(x; \mathfrak{t}^{\mathrm{II}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^{2}} x^{1-g} L_{\mathbf{v}}^{(\frac{1}{2}-g)}(\eta(x)), \quad \tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}^{\mathrm{II}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -1,$$

$$\mathfrak{t}^{\mathrm{II}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1 - g, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathrm{II}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = -4(g - \mathbf{v} - \frac{1}{2}), \tag{B.4}$$

J: type I: 
$$\tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathbf{I}}(x; \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi_{\mathbf{v}}(x; \mathfrak{t}^{\mathbf{I}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})) = (\sin x)^{g} (\cos x)^{1-h} P_{\mathbf{v}}^{(g-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-h)}(\eta(x)), \quad \tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}^{\mathbf{I}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (1, -1),$$

$$\mathfrak{t}^{\mathbf{I}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = (g, 1-h), \quad \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathbf{I}} = -4(g+\mathbf{v}+\frac{1}{2})(h-\mathbf{v}-\frac{1}{2}), \tag{B.5}$$

type II: 
$$\tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathrm{II}}(x; \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi_{\mathbf{v}}(x; \mathfrak{t}^{\mathrm{II}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})) = (\sin x)^{1-g} (\cos x)^h P_{\mathbf{v}}^{(\frac{1}{2}-g,h-\frac{1}{2})}(\eta(x)), \quad \tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}^{\mathrm{II}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (-1,1),$$

$$\mathfrak{t}^{\mathrm{II}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = (1-g,h), \quad \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathrm{II}} = -4(g-\mathbf{v}-\frac{1}{2})(h+\mathbf{v}+\frac{1}{2}), \tag{B.6}$$

where the range of v, g, h are found in [11]. These virtual state wavefunctions are labeled by the degree v of the polynomial part and the type t (I or II), (v, t) which we write as  $v^t$ . For simplicity in notation, we abbreviate  $v^t$  as v in most places.

Eqs. (A.34)–(A.35) become

$$W[\tilde{\phi}_{d_1}, \dots, \tilde{\phi}_{d_s}](x) = c_{\mathcal{F}}^{\frac{1}{2}s(s-1)} \Xi_{d_1 \dots d_s}(\eta) \times \begin{cases} \eta^{s'(s'+g-\frac{1}{2})} e^{s'\eta} & : L \\ \left(\frac{1-\eta}{2}\right)^{s'(s'+g-\frac{1}{2})} \left(\frac{1+\eta}{2}\right)^{-s'(-s'+h-\frac{1}{2})} & : J \end{cases}, \quad (B.7)$$

 $W[\tilde{\phi}_{d_1},\ldots,\tilde{\phi}_{d_s},\phi_n](x)$ 

$$= c_{\mathcal{F}}^{\frac{1}{2}s(s+1)} P_{d_1...d_s,n}(\eta) \times \begin{cases} \eta^{(s'+\frac{1}{2})(s'+g)} e^{(s'-\frac{1}{2})\eta} & : L \\ \left(\frac{1-\eta}{2}\right)^{(s'+\frac{1}{2})(s'+g)} \left(\frac{1+\eta}{2}\right)^{(-s'+\frac{1}{2})(-s'+h)} & : J \end{cases}$$
(B.8)

where  $\eta = \eta(x)$ ,  $s' = \frac{1}{2}(s_{\text{I}} - s_{\text{II}})$  and  $s_{\text{t}} = \#\{d_j \mid d_j : \text{type t}, j = 1, \dots, s\}$  (t = I, II). Here the denominator polynomial  $\Xi_{d_1...d_s}(\eta)$  and the multi-indexed orthogonal polynomial  $P_{d_1...d_s,n}(\eta)$ , which are polynomials of degree  $\ell_{d_1...d_s}$  and  $\ell_{d_1...d_s} + n$  in  $\eta$  respectively, are defined by

$$\Xi_{d_1...d_s}(\eta) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} W[\mu_{d_1}, \dots, \mu_{d_s}](\eta) \times \begin{cases} \eta^{(s_I + g - \frac{1}{2})s_{II}} e^{-s_I \eta} & : L \\ \left(\frac{1 - \eta}{2}\right)^{(s_I + g - \frac{1}{2})s_{II}} \left(\frac{1 + \eta}{2}\right)^{(s_{II} + h - \frac{1}{2})s_I} & : J \end{cases},$$
(B.9)

$$P_{d_1...d_s,n}(\eta) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} W[\mu_{d_1}, \dots, \mu_{d_s}, P_n](\eta) \times \begin{cases} \eta^{(s_I+g+\frac{1}{2})s_{II}} e^{-s_I \eta} & : L \\ \left(\frac{1-\eta}{2}\right)^{(s_I+g+\frac{1}{2})s_{II}} \left(\frac{1+\eta}{2}\right)^{(s_{II}+h+\frac{1}{2})s_I} & : J \end{cases}, \quad (B.10)$$

$$\mu_{\mathbf{v}}(\eta) = \begin{cases} e^{\eta} \times L_{\mathbf{v}}^{(g-\frac{1}{2})}(-\eta) & : \mathbf{L}, \, \mathbf{v} \, \text{type I} \\ \eta^{\frac{1}{2}-g} \times L_{\mathbf{v}}^{(\frac{1}{2}-g)}(\eta) & : \mathbf{L}, \, \mathbf{v} \, \text{type II} \\ \left(\frac{1+\eta}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-h} \times P_{\mathbf{v}}^{(g-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}-h)}(\eta) & : \mathbf{J}, \, \mathbf{v} \, \text{type I} \\ \left(\frac{1-\eta}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-g} \times P_{\mathbf{v}}^{(\frac{1}{2}-g,h-\frac{1}{2})}(\eta) & : \mathbf{J}, \, \mathbf{v} \, \text{type II} \end{cases}$$
(B.11)

and

$$\ell_{d_1...d_s} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j=1}^s d_j - \frac{1}{2}s(s-1) + 2s_{\mathrm{I}}s_{\mathrm{II}}. \tag{B.12}$$

Since  $L_n^{(\alpha)}(\eta)$  and  $P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\eta)$  belong to  $\mathbb{Q}[\eta,\alpha,\beta]$ , these polynomials  $\Xi_{d_1...d_s}(\eta)$  and  $P_{d_1...d_s,n}(\eta)$  also belong to  $\mathbb{Q}[\eta,g,h]$ . Under a permutation of  $d_j$ 's,  $\Xi_{d_1...d_s}(\eta)$  and  $P_{d_1...d_s,n}(\eta)$  change their overall sign,  $\Xi_{d_{\sigma_1}...d_{\sigma_s}}(\eta) = \operatorname{sgn}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & s \\ \sigma_1 & \dots & \sigma_s \end{pmatrix} \Xi_{d_1...d_s}(\eta)$  and  $P_{d_{\sigma_1}...d_{\sigma_s},n}(\eta) = \operatorname{sgn}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & s \\ \sigma_1 & \dots & \sigma_s \end{pmatrix} P_{d_1...d_s,n}(\eta)$ . We denote the coefficients of the highest degree term of the polynomials  $\Xi_{d_1...d_s}(\eta)$  and  $P_{d_1...d_s,n}(\eta)$  as

$$\Xi_{d_1...d_s}(\eta) = c_{d_1...d_s}^{\Xi} \eta^{\ell_{d_1...d_s}} + (\text{lower order terms}),$$

$$P_{d_1...d_s,n}(\eta) = c_{d_1...d_s,n}^P \eta^{\ell_{d_1...d_s}+n} + (\text{lower order terms}). \tag{B.13}$$

In the 'standard order'  $\{d_1^{\rm I},\ldots,d_{s_{\rm I}}^{\rm I},d_1^{\rm II},\ldots,d_{s_{\rm II}}^{\rm II}\}$ , these coefficients are [28]

$$c_{d_{1}...d_{s_{\text{II}}}^{\text{II}}}^{\Xi} = \prod_{j=1}^{s_{\text{I}}} c_{d_{j}}^{\text{I}} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{s_{\text{II}}} c_{d_{j}^{\text{II}}}^{\text{II}} \cdot \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq s_{\text{I}}} (d_{k}^{\text{I}} - d_{j}^{\text{I}}) \cdot \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq s_{\text{II}}} (d_{k}^{\text{II}} - d_{j}^{\text{II}})$$

$$\times \begin{cases} (-1)^{s_{\text{I}}s_{\text{II}}} & : \mathbf{L} \\ \prod_{j=1}^{s_{\text{I}}} \prod_{k=1}^{s_{\text{II}}} \frac{1}{4} (g - h + d_{j}^{\text{I}} - d_{k}^{\text{II}}) & : \mathbf{J} \end{cases},$$
(B.14)

$$c_{d_{1}^{I}...d_{s_{II}}^{II},n}^{P} = c_{d_{1}^{I}...d_{s_{II}}^{II}}^{\Xi} c_{n} \times \begin{cases} (-1)^{s_{I}} \prod_{j=1}^{s_{II}} (g+n-d_{j}^{II} - \frac{1}{2}) & : L \\ \prod_{j=1}^{s_{II}} \frac{1}{2} (h+n-d_{j}^{I} - \frac{1}{2}) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{s_{II}} \frac{-1}{2} (g+n-d_{j}^{II} - \frac{1}{2}) & : J \end{cases} , \quad (B.15)$$

where  $c_n$ ,  $c_v^I$  and  $c_v^{II}$  are

$$P_n(\eta) = c_n \eta^n + \text{(lower order terms)}, \quad c_n = \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} & : L\\ \frac{(n+g+h)_n}{2^n n!} & : J \end{cases}$$
(B.16)

$$c_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathbf{I}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (-1)^{\mathbf{v}} c_{\mathbf{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) & : \mathbf{L} \\ c_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathfrak{t}^{\mathbf{I}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})) & : \mathbf{J} \end{array} \right., \quad c_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathbf{II}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} c_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathfrak{t}^{\mathbf{II}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})) & : \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{J}.$$
(B.17)

From (A.25) and (B.7)-(B.8), we obtain

$$\phi_{d_1...d_s\,n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \Psi_{d_1...d_s}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) P_{d_1...d_s,n}(\eta(x);\boldsymbol{\lambda}),$$

$$\Psi_{d_1...d_s}(x; \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = c_{\mathcal{F}}^s \frac{\phi_0(x; \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{[s_{\mathrm{I}}, s_{\mathrm{II}}]})}{\Xi_{d_1...d_s}(\eta(x); \boldsymbol{\lambda})}, \quad \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{[s_{\mathrm{I}}, s_{\mathrm{II}}]} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \boldsymbol{\lambda} + s_{\mathrm{I}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}^{\mathrm{I}} + s_{\mathrm{II}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}^{\mathrm{II}}.$$
(B.18)

Explicit forms of the step forward and backward shift operators  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{d_1...d_s}$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{d_1...d_s}$  are given by eqs.(A.1)–(A.4) in [38]. To calculate the multi-step one  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(d_1...d_s)}$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(d_1...d_s)}$ , however, they are not so useful. Instead, we use (A.31)–(A.32) and (A.45)–(A.46). By using (B.7)–(B.8), we obtain

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(d_1...d_s)}P_n(\eta) = \rho_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}}^{(d_1...d_s)}(\eta)W[\mu_{d_1}, \dots, \mu_{d_s}, P_n](\eta),$$
(B.19)

$$\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{(d_1...d_s)} P_{d_1...d_s,n}(\eta) = \rho_{\hat{\mathcal{B}}}^{(d_1...d_s)}(\eta) W[m_1,\ldots,m_s, P_{d_1...d_s,n}](\eta).$$
(B.20)

Here  $\mu_{\rm v}(\eta)$  is given in (B.11), and  $\rho_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}}^{(d_1...d_s)}(\eta)$ ,  $\rho_{\hat{\mathcal{B}}}^{(d_1...d_s)}(\eta)$  and  $m_j(\eta)$  are

$$\rho_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}}^{(d_1...d_s)}(\eta) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} \eta^{(s_{\mathrm{I}}+g+\frac{1}{2})s_{\mathrm{II}}} e^{-s_{\mathrm{I}}\eta} & : L \\ \left(\frac{1-\eta}{2}\right)^{(s_{\mathrm{I}}+g+\frac{1}{2})s_{\mathrm{II}}} \left(\frac{1+\eta}{2}\right)^{(s_{\mathrm{II}}+h+\frac{1}{2})s_{\mathrm{I}}} & : J \end{cases},$$
(B.21)

$$\rho_{\hat{\mathcal{B}}}^{(d_1...d_s)}(\eta) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{c_{\mathcal{F}}^{2s}(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}s(s+1)}}{\Xi_{d_1...d_s}(\eta)^s} \times \begin{cases} \eta^{s_{\rm I}(s_{\rm I}+g+\frac{1}{2})}e^{-s_{\rm II}\eta} & : \mathbf{L} \\ \left(\frac{1-\eta}{2}\right)^{s_{\rm I}(s_{\rm I}+g+\frac{1}{2})}\left(\frac{1+\eta}{2}\right)^{s_{\rm II}(s_{\rm II}+h+\frac{1}{2})} & : \mathbf{J} \end{cases} , \tag{B.22}$$

$$m_{j}(\eta) = m_{j}^{(d_{1}...d_{s})}(\eta) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Xi_{d_{1}...d_{j-1}d_{j+1}...d_{s}}(\eta) \times \begin{cases} \eta^{-(s_{I}-s_{II}+g-\frac{1}{2})} & \text{: L, } d_{j} \text{ type I} \\ e^{\eta} & \text{: L, } d_{j} \text{ type II} \\ \left(\frac{1-\eta}{2}\right)^{-(s_{I}-s_{II}+g-\frac{1}{2})} & \text{: J, } d_{j} \text{ type I} \end{cases}, (B.23)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{1+\eta}{2}\right)^{-(s_{II}-s_{II}+h-\frac{1}{2})} & \text{: J, } d_{j} \text{ type II} \end{cases}$$

where  $\Xi_{d_1...d_{j-1}d_{j+1}...d_s}(\eta)\big|_{s=1}=1$ . This formula (B.20) (see (A.40)) is new. For the exceptional Hermite polynomial with multi indices, the formula like (B.20) was given in [39].

The Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H}_{d_1...d_s}$  can be written in the standard form:

$$\mathcal{H}_{d_1...d_s} = \mathcal{A}_{d_1...d_s}^{\dagger} \mathcal{A}_{d_1...d_s}, \quad \mathcal{A}_{d_1...d_s} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{d}{dx} - \partial_x \log |\phi_{d_1...d_s \, 0}(x)|. \tag{B.24}$$

The shape invariance of the original system is inherited by the deformed system,

$$\mathcal{A}_{d_1...d_s}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\mathcal{A}_{d_1...d_s}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{\dagger} = \mathcal{A}_{d_1...d_s}(\boldsymbol{\lambda} + \boldsymbol{\delta})^{\dagger}\mathcal{A}_{d_1...d_s}(\boldsymbol{\lambda} + \boldsymbol{\delta}) + \mathcal{E}_1(\boldsymbol{\lambda}).$$
(B.25)

As a consequence of the shape invariance, we have

$$\mathcal{A}_{d_1...d_s}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\phi_{d_1...d_s\,n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = f_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\phi_{d_1...d_s\,n-1}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta}),\tag{B.26}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{d_1...d_s}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{\dagger} \phi_{d_1...d_s \, n-1}(x; \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \boldsymbol{\delta}) = b_{n-1}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \phi_{d_1...d_s \, n}(x; \boldsymbol{\lambda}), \tag{B.27}$$

where the constants  $f_n(\lambda)$  and  $b_{n-1}(\lambda)$  are the factors of the eigenvalue  $f_n(\lambda)b_{n-1}(\lambda) = \mathcal{E}_n(\lambda)$ :

$$f_n(\lambda) = \begin{cases} -2 & : L \\ -2(n+g+h) & : J \end{cases}, \quad b_{n-1}(\lambda) = -2n : L, J.$$
 (B.28)

The relations (B.26)–(B.27) give the forward and backward shift relations,

$$\mathcal{F}_{d_1...d_s}(\lambda)P_{d_1...d_s,n}(\eta;\lambda) = f_n(\lambda)P_{d_1...d_s,n-1}(\eta;\lambda+\delta), \tag{B.29}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{d_1...d_s}(\lambda) P_{d_1...d_s,n-1}(\eta; \lambda + \delta) = b_{n-1}(\lambda) P_{d_1...d_s,n}(\eta; \lambda), \tag{B.30}$$

where the forward  $(\mathcal{F})$  and backward  $(\mathcal{B})$  shift operators are defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_{d_1...d_s}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Psi_{d_1...d_s}^{-1}(x; \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \boldsymbol{\delta}) \circ \mathcal{A}_{d_1...d_s}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \circ \Psi_{d_1...d_s}(x; \boldsymbol{\lambda}), \tag{B.31}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{d_1...d_s}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Psi_{d_1...d_s}^{-1}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \circ \mathcal{A}_{d_1...d_s}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{\dagger} \circ \Psi_{d_1...d_s}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda} + \boldsymbol{\delta}). \tag{B.32}$$

Another consequence of the shape invariance is the following proportionality,

$$P_{d_{1}...d_{s},0}(\eta; \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = A \times \Xi_{d_{1}...d_{s}}(\eta; \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \boldsymbol{\delta}),$$

$$A = \begin{cases} (-1)^{s_{1}} \prod_{j=1}^{s_{II}} (g - d_{j} - \frac{1}{2}) & : L \\ 2^{-s_{I}} \prod_{j=1}^{s_{I}} (h - d_{j} - \frac{1}{2}) \cdot (-2)^{-s_{II}} \prod_{j=1}^{s_{II}} (g - d_{j} - \frac{1}{2}) & : J \end{cases} ,$$
(B.33)

where j runs for type I  $d_j$  (or type II  $d_j$ ) in the products  $\prod_{j=1}^{s_{\text{II}}} \left( \text{or } \prod_{j=1}^{s_{\text{II}}} \right)$ . Therefore the ground state has the form,

$$\phi_{d_1...d_s\,0}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \propto \phi_0(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{[s_1,s_{1I}]}) \frac{\Xi_{d_1...d_s}(\eta(x);\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta})}{\Xi_{d_1...d_s}(\eta(x);\boldsymbol{\lambda})}.$$
(B.34)

Then eqs.(B.31)-(B.32) become

$$\mathcal{F}_{d_1...d_s}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = c_{\mathcal{F}} \frac{\Xi_{d_1...d_s}(\eta; \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \boldsymbol{\delta})}{\Xi_{d_1...d_s}(\eta; \boldsymbol{\lambda})} \left( \frac{d}{d\eta} - \frac{\partial_{\eta} \Xi_{d_1...d_s}(\eta; \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \boldsymbol{\delta})}{\Xi_{d_1...d_s}(\eta; \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \boldsymbol{\delta})} \right), \tag{B.35}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{d_1...d_s}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = -4c_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}c_2(\eta) \frac{\Xi_{d_1...d_s}(\eta; \boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\Xi_{d_1...d_s}(\eta; \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \boldsymbol{\delta})} \left( \frac{d}{d\eta} + \frac{c_1(\eta, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{[s_1, s_{\text{II}}]})}{c_2(\eta)} - \frac{\partial_{\eta}\Xi_{d_1...d_s}(\eta; \boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\Xi_{d_1...d_s}(\eta; \boldsymbol{\lambda})} \right), \quad (B.36)$$

where the functions  $c_1(\eta; \lambda)$  and  $c_2(\eta)$  are those appearing in the (confluent) hypergeometric equations for the Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials

$$c_1(\eta, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} g + \frac{1}{2} - \eta & : L \\ h - g - (g + h + 1)\eta & : J \end{array} \right., \quad c_2(\eta) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \eta & : L \\ 1 - \eta^2 & : J \end{array} \right.$$
 (B.37)

The second order differential operator  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{d_1...d_s}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$  governing the multi-indexed polynomials is:

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{d_{1}...d_{s}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Psi_{d_{1}...d_{s}}^{-1}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \circ \mathcal{H}_{d_{1}...d_{s}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \circ \Psi_{d_{1}...d_{s}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathcal{B}_{d_{1}...d_{s}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \mathcal{F}_{d_{1}...d_{s}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$$

$$= -4 \left( c_{2}(\eta) \frac{d^{2}}{d\eta^{2}} + \left( c_{1}(\eta, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{[s_{1},s_{1I}]}) - 2c_{2}(\eta) \frac{\partial_{\eta} \Xi_{d_{1}...d_{s}}(\eta; \boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\Xi_{d_{1}...d_{s}}(\eta; \boldsymbol{\lambda})} \right) \frac{d}{d\eta} + c_{2}(\eta) \frac{\partial_{\eta}^{2} \Xi_{d_{1}...d_{s}}(\eta; \boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\Xi_{d_{1}...d_{s}}(\eta; \boldsymbol{\lambda})} - c_{1}(\eta, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{[s_{1},s_{1I}]} - \boldsymbol{\delta}) \frac{\partial_{\eta} \Xi_{d_{1}...d_{s}}(\eta; \boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\Xi_{d_{1}...d_{s}}(\eta; \boldsymbol{\lambda})} \right), \qquad (B.38)$$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{d_{1}...d_{s}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) P_{d_{1}...d_{s},n}(\eta; \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathcal{E}_{n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) P_{d_{1}...d_{s},n}(\eta; \boldsymbol{\lambda}). \qquad (B.39)$$

For appropriate parameter range (see [11]), the operators  $\mathcal{H}_{d_1...d_s}$ ,  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{d_1...d_s}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_{d_1...d_s}$ , etc. are non-singular, and we have the norm formula,  $(\phi_{d_1...d_s}n, \phi_{d_1...d_s}m) = \prod_{j=1}^s (\mathcal{E}_n - \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{d_j}) \cdot (\phi_n, \phi_m)$  with  $(f,g) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} dx f(x) g(x)$ . For equivalence among the multi-indexed polynomials, see [28, 29].

# References

- [1] D. Gómez-Ullate, N. Kamran and R. Milson, "An extension of Bochner's problem: exceptional invariant subspaces," J. Approx. Theory 162 (2010) 987-1006, arXiv:0805. 3376[math-ph]; "An extended class of orthogonal polynomials defined by a Sturm-Liouville problem," J. Math. Anal. Appl. 359 (2009) 352-367, arXiv:0807.3939[math-ph].
- [2] C. Quesne, "Exceptional orthogonal polynomials, exactly solvable potentials and supersymmetry," J. Phys. **A41** (2008) 392001 (6pp), arXiv:0807.4087[quant-ph].
- [3] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, "Infinitely many shape invariant potentials and new orthogonal polynomials," Phys. Lett. **B679** (2009) 414-417, arXiv:0906.0142[math-ph]; "Another set of infinitely many exceptional  $(X_{\ell})$  Laguerre polynomials," Phys. Lett. **B684** (2010) 173-176, arXiv:0911.3442[math-ph].
- [4] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, "Infinitely many shape invariant potentials and cubic identities of the Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials," J. Math. Phys. **51** (2010) 053513 (9pp), arXiv:0911.1585[math-ph].
- [5] C.-L. Ho, S. Odake and R. Sasaki, "Properties of the exceptional  $(X_{\ell})$  Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials," SIGMA 7 (2011) 107 (24pp), arXiv:0912.5447 [math-ph].

- [6] D. Gómez-Ullate, N. Kamran and R. Milson, "Exceptional orthogonal polynomials and the Darboux transformation," J. Phys. A43 (2010) 434016, arXiv:1002.2666[math-ph].
- [7] R. Sasaki, S. Tsujimoto and A. Zhedanov, "Exceptional Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials and the corresponding potentials through Darboux-Crum transformations," J. Phys. A43 (2010) 315204, arXiv:1004.4711 [math-ph].
- [8] D. Gómez-Ullate, N. Kamran and R. Milson, "On orthogonal polynomials spanning a non-standard flag," Contemp. Math. **563** (2011) 51-72, arXiv:1101.5584[math-ph].
- [9] Y. Grandati, "Solvable rational extensions of the Morse and Kepler-Coulomb potentials," J. Math. Phys. **52** (2011) 103505 (12pp), arXiv:1103.5023[math-ph].
- [10] D. Gómez-Ullate, N. Kamran and R. Milson, "Two-step Darboux transformations and exceptional Laguerre polynomials," J. Math. Anal. Appl. 387 (2012) 410-418, arXiv: 1103.5724[math-ph].
- [11] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, "Exactly solvable quantum mechanics and infinite families of multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials," Phys. Lett. **B702** (2011) 164-170, arXiv: 1105.0508[math-ph]. (Remark:  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}^{\rm I}$  and  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}^{\rm II}$  in this reference correspond to  $-\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}^{\rm I}$  and  $-\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}^{\rm II}$  in the present paper, respectively.)
- [12] C.-L. Ho, "Prepotential approach to solvable rational extensions of harmonic oscillator and Morse potentials," J. Math. Phys. 52 (2011) 122107 (8pp), arXiv:1105.3670 [math-ph].
- [13] C. Quesne, "Revisiting (quasi-)exactly solvable rational extensions of the Morse potential," Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A27** (2012) 1250073 (18pp), arXiv:1203.1812[math-ph].
- [14] Y. Grandati, "New rational extensions of solvable potentials with finite bound state spectrum," Phys. Lett. A376 (2012) 2866-2872, arXiv:1203.4149[math-ph].
- [15] D. Gómez-Ullate, N. Kamran and R. Milson, "A conjecture on exceptional orthogonal polynomials," Found. Comput. Math. 13 (2013) 615-666, arXiv:1203.6857[math-ph].

- [16] C. Quesne, "Novel enlarged shape invariance property and exactly solvable rational extensions of the Rosen-Morse II and Eckart potentials," SIGMA 8 (2012) 080 (19pp), arXiv:1208.6165[math-ph].
- [17] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, "Krein-Adler transformations for shape-invariant potentials and pseudo virtual states," J. Phys. A46 (2013) 245201 (24pp), arXiv:1212.6595[math-ph].
- [18] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, "Extensions of solvable potentials with finitely many discrete eigenstates," J. Phys. A46 (2013) 235205 (15pp), arXiv:1301.3980[math-ph].
- [19] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, "Crum's theorem for 'discrete' quantum mechanics," Prog. Theor. Phys. 122 (2009) 1067-1079, arXiv:0902.2593[math-ph].
- [20] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, "Infinitely many shape invariant discrete quantum mechanical systems and new exceptional orthogonal polynomials related to the Wilson and Askey-Wilson polynomials," Phys. Lett. B682 (2009) 130-136, arXiv:0909.3668[math-ph].
- [21] L. García-Gutiérrez, S. Odake and R. Sasaki, "Modification of Crum's theorem for 'discrete' quantum mechanics," Prog. Theor. Phys. 124 (2010) 1-26, arXiv:1004.0289 [math-ph].
- [22] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, "Exceptional Askey-Wilson type polynomials through Darboux-Crum transformations," J. Phys. A43 (2010) 335201 (18pp), arXiv:1004.0544[mathph].
- [23] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, "Exceptional  $(X_{\ell})$  (q)-Racah polynomials," Prog. Theor. Phys. **125** (2011) 851-870, arXiv:1102.0812[math-ph].
- [24] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, "Discrete quantum mechanics," (Topical Review) J. Phys. A44 (2011) 353001 (47pp), arXiv:1104.0473[math-ph].
- [25] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, "Multi-indexed (q-)Racah polynomials," J. Phys. A 45 (2012) 385201 (21pp), arXiv:1203.5868[math-ph].
- [26] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, "Multi-indexed Wilson and Askey-Wilson polynomials," J. Phys. A46 (2013) 045204 (22pp), arXiv:1207.5584[math-ph].

- [27] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, "Casoratian Identities for the Wilson and Askey-Wilson Polynomials," J. Approx. Theory 193 (2015) 184-209, arXiv:1308.4240[math-ph].
- [28] S. Odake, "Equivalences of the Multi-Indexed Orthogonal Polynomials," J. Math. Phys. 55 (2014) 013502 (17pp), arXiv:1309.2346[math-ph].
- [29] K. Takemura, "Multi-indexed Jacobi polynomials and Maya diagrams," J. Math. Phys. 55 (2014) 113501 (10pp), arXiv:1311.3570[math-ph].
- [30] C. Liaw, L. L. Littlejohn, R. Milson and J. Stewart, "A New Class of Exceptional Orthogonal Polynomials: The Type III  $X_m$ -Laguerre Polynomials And The Spectral Analysis of Three Types of Exceptional Laguerre Polynomials," arXiv:1407.4145 [math.SP].
- [31] E. Routh, "On some properties of certain solutions of a differential equation of the second order," Proc. London Math. Soc. **16** (1884) 245-261; S. Bochner, "Über Sturm-Liouvillesche Polynomsysteme," Math. Zeit. **29** (1929) 730-736.
- [32] G. Szegö, Orthogonal polynomials, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1939); T. S. Chihara, An Introduction to Orthogonal Polynomials, Gordon and Breach, New York (1978);
   M. E. H. Ismail, Classical and Quantum Orthogonal Polynomials in One Variable, vol. 98 of Encyclopedia of mathematics and its applications, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (2005).
- [33] G. Darboux, Théorie générale des surfaces vol 2 (1888) Gauthier-Villars, Paris. M. M. Crum, "Associated Sturm-Liouville systems," Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 6 (1955) 121-127, arXiv:physics/9908019. M. G. Krein, "On continuous analogue of a formula of Christoffel from the theory of orthogonal polynomials," (Russian) Doklady Acad. Nauk. CCCP, 113 (1957) 970-973; V. É. Adler, "A modification of Crum's method," Theor. Math. Phys. 101 (1994) 1381-1386.
- [34] S. Odake, "Recurrence Relations of the Multi-Indexed Orthogonal Polynomials," J. Math. Phys. **54** (2013) 083506 (18pp), arXiv:1303.5820[math-ph].
- [35] D. Gómez-Ullate, Y. Grandati and R. Milson, "Rational extensions of the quantum harmonic oscillator and exceptional Hermite polynomials," J. Phys. A47 (2014) 015203 (27pp), arXiv:1306.5143 [math-ph].

- [36] A. J. Durán, "Higher order recurrence relation for exceptional Charlier, Meixner, Hermite and Laguerre orthogonal polynomials," Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 26 (2015) 357-376, arXiv:1409.4697 [math.CA].
- [37] H. Miki and S. Tsujimoto, "A new recurrence formula for generic exceptional orthogonal polynomials," J. Math. Phys. **56** (2015) 033502 (13pp), arXiv:1410.0183[math.CA].
- [38] S. Odake, "Recurrence Relations of the Multi-Indexed Orthogonal Polynomials: II," J. Math. Phys. 56 (2015) 053506 (18pp), arXiv:1410.8236[math-ph].
- [39] D. Gómez-Ullate, A. Kasman, A. B. J. Kuijlaars and R. Milson, "Recurrence Relations for Exceptional Hermite Polynomials," J. Approx. Theory (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10. 1016/j.jat.2015.12.003, arXiv:1506.03651[math.CA].
- [40] J. J. Duistermaat and F. A. Grünbaum, "Differential equations in the spectral parameter," Comm. Math. Phys. 103 (1986) 177–240.
- [41] A. Kasman and M. Rothstein, "Bispectral Darboux transformations: The generalized Airy case," Physica D102 (1997) 159-176, arXiv:q-alg/9606018; B. Bakalov, E. Horozov and M. Yakimov, "General methods for constructing bispectral operators," Phys. Lett. A222 (1996) 59-66, arXiv:q-alg/9605011; F. A. Grünbaum and M. Yakimov, "Discrete Bispectral Darboux Transformations from Jacobi Operators," Pacific J. Math. 204 (2002) 395-431, arXiv:math/0012191[math.CA].
- [42] R. Sasaki and K. Takemura, "Global Solutions of Certain Second-Order Differential Equations with a High Degree of Apparent Singularity," SIGMA 8 (2012) 085 (18 pp), arXiv:1207.5302[math.CA]; C.-L. Ho, R. Sasaki and K. Takemura, "Confluence of apparent singularities in multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials: the Jacobi case," J. Phys. A46 (2013) 115205, arXiv:1210.0207[math.CA].